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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

The Officer South Employment precinct is located approximately 45 km southeast of Melbourne's central business district wvithin Melbourne's South East Growth Corridor. In accordance with Government strategic planning policy directions, the precinct will deliver a State Significant Industrial Precinct and Regionally Significant Commercial Precinct.

The Officer South Employment (OSE) Precinct Structure Plan (PSP) will guide the development of the precinct and is currently being prepared by the Victorian Planning Authority (VPA) in working partnership with Cardinia Shire Council, State Government Agencies and service authorities.
On completion the PSP is expected to provide some 22,000 jobs and deliver some 1,600 neww homes.

### 1.2. Purpose of the Report

Ratio Consultants (Ratio) has been engaged to undertake an Integrated Transport Assessment (ITA) which will form one part of a suite of technical assessments that will assist the VPA in developing the PSP and facilitating the subsequent Planning Scheme Amendment.
This report sets out the ITA methodology and subsequent findings.

### 1.3. Reference Documents

In preparing this report, reference has been made to a number of data sources including the following:

- Various VPA plans for the OSE PSP as referenced throughout this report;
- VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People (August 2011);
- The V/PA Benchmark Infrastructure Report, prepared by Cardno Victoria Pty Ltd (Final, dated 11 April 2019);
- Officer South Employment PSP - Transport Mlodelling Assessment Report prepared by GHD Pty Ltd (dated 7 November 2022);
- VicRoads, Guidance for Planning Road Networks in Growwth Areas, V/orking Document 2015 (currently under revievv); and
- Other data sources as nominated throughout this report.


## 2. Existing and Future Road Netv/ork

### 2.1. Site Location

The location of the Officer South Employment Precinct PSP in relation to the wvider South East Growvth Corridor is illustrated in Figure 2.1, and the location of the precinct wvithin the context of the existing road network is shoven in Figure 2.2:

Figure 2.1: Officer South Employment Precinct Location


## Source:

https://planvic.maps.arcgis.com/apps/vvebappvievver/index.html?id=536f9e451be0496c89548ae04013d76b

Figure 2.2: PSP Location


As outlined above, the PSP area is bound by Cardinia Creek to the wwest, the Princes Freewvay to the north, Lower Gum Scrub Creek to the east and the Urban Growvth Boundary to the south.
Officer South Road runs north-south through the PSP area, which wvill also be traversed in the future in the east-vvest direction by the extensions of Thompsons Road and Grices Road/Lecky Road. The PSP area vill also connect to the Princess Freeway in the north via a planned fully directional Freeway Interchange with Officer South Road.

### 2.2. Existing Road Network

The precinct location within the context of the existing road network is outlined in Figure 2.3:

Figure 2.3: Existing Road Netvwork Surrounding the Precinct


The existing roads in the immediate vicinity of the precinct area and the estimated existing daily traffic volumes are outlined in Table 2.1:

Table 2.1: Existing Daily Traffic Volumes

| Road | Traffic Count Location | Daily Traffic Volume <br> (vehicles per day <br> (vpd)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Officer South <br> Road | South of the Princess <br> Freeway | 3,300 |
| Lecky Road | East of Officer South Road | 2,100 |
| Patterson Road | East of Officer South Road | 2,300 |

Source: Officer South Employment PSP - Transport Modelling Assessment Report prepared by GHD Pty Ltd (dated 28 October 2022).

It is recognised that the traffic data is limited in the vicinity of the PSP area given that the area is currently largely a greenfield area.

### 2.3. Anticipated Ultimate (2051) Road Netvvork

The envisaged ultimate future Arterial Road network in the immediate vicinity of the PSP area has been informed by the South East Grovyth Corridor Plan which is the overarching broad strategic master plan for Officer South and the surrounding precincts. The key elements of this network are showvn as Figure 2.4.

Figure 2.4: Envisaged Future Arterial Road Netwwork


Source: https://vpa-wveb.s3.amazonavvs.com/vvp-content/uploads/2021/06/Officer-South-Employment-PSP-Vision-and-Purpose-V/Vebinar-Summary-July-2020.pdf
As outlined in Figure 2.4 and noted previously, Officer South Road is envisaged to connect to the Princess Freeway via a fully directional diamond interchange. Officer South Road and Thompsons Road are envisaged to ultimately be a 6-lane Arterial Roads whilst Grices Road/Lecky Road is ultimately envisaged to be a 4-lane Arterial Road. Road bridges are also envisaged on the east-west Arterial Roads across Cardinia Creek and Lowver Gum Scrub Creek. Stephens Road was contemplated as a potential local connector road link to the Officer PSP.

The envisaged future arterial road network was tested through traffic modelling (see Chapters 6 and 7) to determine its suitability to meet the needs of the South East Growth Corridor at full development.

## 3. PSP Overvievv

### 3.1. PSP Layout and Land Uses

The draft Place Base Plan for the PSP was provided by the VPA and is illustrated in Figure 3.1. It should be noted that various earlier (superseded) versions of this working draft plan are presented within this report, which show slight variations in land use arrangement and transport networks.
Figure 3.1: Draft PSP Place Based Plan


The 1,069 Hectare (Ha) PSP area wvill primarily consist of industrial uses supplemented by commercial and residential uses. A Local Tovvn Centre (LTC), local community facilities, and schools are also proposed in the northern-eastern section of the PSP area.

A breakdown of the land uses planned vwithin the precinct was provided by the V/PA and is summarised in Figure 3.2 and Table 3.1:
Figure 3.2: PSP Land Use Zone Structure ${ }^{1}$


[^0]Table 3.1: PSP Land Use by Zone

| Zone | Land Use Area (Ha) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Business | Industrial | LTC/Mixed Use | School | Residential | Community Facilities |
| A |  | 64.09 |  |  |  |  |
| B | 44.05 | 1.88 |  |  |  | 0.25 |
| C | 0.91 |  | 7.96 | 5.01 | 16.95 |  |
| D |  | 11.87 |  |  |  |  |
| E | 0.03 | 22.41 |  |  |  |  |
| F | 16.45 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  | 7.05 | 1.49 | 11.82 | 1.0 |
| H |  | 13.33 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 21.92 |  |  |  |  |
| J |  | 28.27 |  |  |  |  |
| K | 17.77 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| L | 15.35 |  |  |  |  | 3.00 |
| M | 24.18 | 24,01 |  |  |  |  |
| N |  | 45.94 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1.37 | 47.53 |  |  |  |  |
| P | 2.32 | 35.56 |  |  |  |  |
| Q |  | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |
| R |  | 46.15 |  |  |  |  |
| S |  | 36.75 |  |  |  |  |
| T |  | 77.63 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 122.43 | 481.00 | 15.01 | 6.50 | 28.77 | 4.24 |

### 3.2. Transport Network Overvievs

The draft Road Network Plan for the PSP was provided by the VPA and used as the basis for testing. This draft network illustrated in Figure 3.3:
Figure 3.3: Draft PSP Road Network Plan


As outlined in Figure 3.3, the precinct will connect to the Princes Freeway to the north via a newv diamond interchange with Officer South Road. East-west connectivity wvill be provided via Grices Road/Lecky Road and Thompsons Road and the associated bridge crossing across the Cardinia Creek along the Eastern precinct boundary. East-west connectivity will also be provided via bridge crossings across Gum Scrub Creek.
Both Officer South Road and Thompsons Road wvill ultimately be Primary (6 lane) Arterial Roads, and Grices Road/Lecky Road will ultimately be a Secondary (4 lane) Arterial Road. The precinct road network will take the form of a series of Connector Streets that will connect to
the Arterial Road network via twelve signalised intersections to facilitate movement to/from/vithin the precinct.
The residential precinct in the north eastern corner of the PSP will include a boulevard connector as the town's main street.

### 3.3. Public Transport and Active Path Networks

The draft Public Transport and Active Path Networks Plan for the PSP was provided by the VPA and is illustrated in Figure 3.4.
Figure 3.4: Public Transport and Active Paths Netvoorks Plan



The precinct includes the provision of a series of on and off-road bike paths, bus capable roads, and crossing opportunities for pedestrians at signalized intersections and pedestrian
bridges. Footpaths wvill also be provided on both sides of all Arterial Roads and Connector Streets within the PSP area.

Although not shovvn on PSP plans, all Local Access Streets vvill also include footpaths on both sides of the road.

## 4. PSP Road Netvvork

### 4.1. Road Network Principles

The PSP road netwwork has been developed pursuant to the Victoria Planning Provisions and in accordance with Department of Transport (DoT) and Growth Area planning principles. It features a tiered road network to support longer distance travel and enables appropriate access to abutting land uses.

The broad approach is to provide:

- Alternating Primary and Secondary Arterials in an approximate 2.5km grid layout;
- Primary Arterial connections to the freewway network; and
- A regularly spaced network of Connector Roads that provides multiple options for traffic flows to balance themselves across the network.


### 4.2. Road Network Hierarchy

The draft Road Network Plan for the PSP was previously outlined in Figure 3.3. As discussed previously the precinct wwill connect to the Princes Freeway to the north via a new diamond interchange wvith Officer South Road. East-west connectivity wvill be provided via Grices Road/Lecky Road and Thompsons Road and the associated new bridge crossing across the Cardinia Creek and Lower Gum Scrub Creek.

All Connector Streets and Arterial Roads vithin the precinct area are anticipated to be bus capable roads and include facilities for cycling within the road reserve.
In addition to the twelve signalised intersections outlined in Figure 3.3, one set of pedestrian signals are envisaged within the LTC in the vicinity of Lecky Road.

### 4.3. Anticipated Road Cross Sections and Intersection Layouts

## Benchmark Road Cross Sections

The VPA has a series of benchmark road cross sections that are applied to PSPs in greenfield areas. The cross sections applicable to the OSE PSP are outlined as follows:

The proposed Industrial Connector and Boulevard Connector Street cross sections provide a twoo-wway bus capable carriageway, an off-road two-wway bike path, footpaths on either side of the carriagevvay along wvith on-street parking on both side of the carriagevay.
In addition, the VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People (August 2011) notes that Connector Streets should generally provide for up to approximately 7,000 vpd and when volumes exceed this, additional links to the Arterial Road Network may be required. The Guidelines further note that Connector Streets should be designed to prioritise the needs of pedestrians and cyclists.
The proposed Primary and Secondary Arterial Road cross sections allow for 2-3 traffic lanes in each direction, off-road twwo-wvay bike paths on either side of the carriagevvay, and footpaths on either side of the carriagevway.

The VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People (August 2011) notes that Secondary Arterial Roads should generally provide for some 12,000-40,000 vpd whilst Primary Arterial Roads should provide for more than 30,000 vpd. The Guidelines further note that priority should be given to the movement of goods and people on Arterial Roads.

## Benchmark Intersection Designs

In association wvith the DoT and Grovvth Area Councils, VPA has developed a suite of typical signalised designs in its planning for Arterial/Arterial and Arterial/Connector intersections. The default designs are included in the VicRoads Guidance for Planning Road Networks in Grovath Areas handbook (2015, currently under revievv) and include minor variations to reflect the type and volume of traffic generated by different land uses.

The default designs have been used as the basis for standardised cost estimation of PSP intersections, which are funded by Infrastructure Contributions Plans (ICPs). The standardised costs and associated intersection designs are set out in V/PA's Benchmark Infrastructure Report (2019) (the Benchmark report).
In the case of Arterial/Connector Road intersections, the Benchmark report includes only residential Connector Road designs. For OSE PSP wvhich includes residential and commercial/industrial land uses, the Grovvth Areas handbook Arterial/Industrial Connector (High Turning Volumes) typical layout has been adopted as the default dravving. The layouts of the relevant designs from the Benchmark report and VicRoads are reproduced below.
Figure 4.1: Primary Arterial to Primary Arterial Benchmark Intersection Layout


The above outlined benchmark design includes the provision of left-turn slip lanes on all the approaches to the intersection. The ultimate provisions also allowv for double-right turn lanes on all approaches to the intersection.

Figure 4.2: Primary Arterial to Secondary Arterial Benchmark Intersection Layout


The above outlined benchmark design includes the provision of left-turn slip lanes on all the approaches to the intersection. The ultimate provisions also allowv for double-right turn lanes on the Primary Arterial Road approaches and a single right turn lane on the Secondary Arterial Road approaches to the intersection.
Figure 4.3: Primary Arterial to Boulevard Connector Street Benchmark Intersection Layout


The above outlined benchmark design does not include left-tun slip lanes on any of the approaches to the intersection. In addition, the ultimate intersection provisions allow for one right turn lane on all the intersection approaches and a shared through/left-tun lane on the Boulevard Connector Street intersection approaches.

Figure 4.4: Secondary Arterial to Boulevard Connector Street Benchmark Intersection Layout


Figure 4.5: Arterial/Industrial Connector (High Turning Volumes) Layout (VicRoads)


The residential and industrial default intersection dravings are deemed to be applicable in most situations where growth area road network planning principles (outlined at Chapter 4.1
earlier) have been applied. The default designs do not apply in all situations, as discussed in more detail at Chapter 7.3.
The key difference between the industrial connector intersection (Figure 4.5) and the residential connector intersections (Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4) is that left-turn slip lanes are provided on all approaches to the intersection. The arterial road approaches in the industrial connector example also include provision for double right turn lanes, whereas the residential layouts provide for only one.

## 5. PSP Sustainable Transport Infrastructure

### 5.1. PSP Sustainable Transport Infrastructure Plan

The sustainable transport infrastructure elements of the OSE PSP are outlined in the Public Transport and Active Path Networks Plan (refer to Figure 3.4). This plan notes that all Arterial Roads and Connector Streets within the PSP area are expected to be bus capable with Lecky Road and Officer South Road, north of Lecky Road, forming part of the future Principal Public Transport Network (PPTN).
Dedicated bike lanes or off-road 2-vay bike lanes and footpaths (both sides of the carriagewvay) are also proposed along all the Connector Streets and Arterial Roads within the PSP area.

Figure 3.4 also shows the provision of off-road shared paths adjacent to open spaces and waterways. An equestrian trail is also included adjacent to Cardinia Creek and the utilities easement located to the south of Thompsons Road.

### 5.2. WValking Network Revievv

The VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People (August 2011) notes that pedestrian outcomes for a PSP transport network include:

- Continuous footpaths on both sides of all streets and roads;
- Regular crossing points, shade and rest points;
- Provision for users of all abilities;
- Pedestrian priority in areas of high foot traffic, (e.g. town centres - also knownn as activity centres and schools); and
- An attractive appearance to improve amenity and encourage walking.

As previously outlined in Figure 3.4, footpaths are proposed on both sides of the carriagevay on all Arterial Roads and Connector Streets wnithin the PSP area. In addition, off-road shared paths are proposed adjacent to open spaces and waterways. The provision of two pedestrian bridges will also allowv for good connectivity to surrounding off-road shared paths. Although not shown on the PSP plans, footpaths are also included on both sides of Local Access Streets.

Furthermore, signalised intersections along the Arterial Road frontages wvill include pedestrian crossing facilities. In addition, a set of pedestrian signals is proposed within the LTC area.
The proposed pedestrian provisions suitably address the pedestrian outcomes sought by the VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People, and further accord vwith the DoT's recent Mlovement and Place thinking which centres around recognising that streets not only keep people and goods moving, but they are also places for people to live, work and enjoy.

The provision of Boulevard Connector Streets/Connector Streets which include footpaths on both sides of the carriageway balance the primary industrial/business land use needs of the OSE PSP wvith pedestrian movement and connectivity throughout the PSP area.

### 5.3. Cycling Network Revievs

The VPA PSP Guidelines - PSP Note - Our Roads: Connecting People (August 2011) notes that cyclist outcomes for a PSP transport network include:

- Provide for commuter and recreational cycling as appropriate;
- Bicycle priority treatments over motorised traffic where appropriate;
- Dedicated bicycle facilities on all Connector Streets and Arterial Roads to facilitate travel by cyclists; and
- Safe road crossing facilities.

Figure 3.4 includes dedicated bike lanes or off-road 2-vvay bike lanes along all the Connector Streets and Arterial Roads within the PSP area, furthermore Figure 3.4 shows the provision of off-road shared paths adjacent to open spaces and vvatervvays which all connect to the onroad bicycle provisions thereby meeting the above outlined cyclist outcomes.

### 5.4. Public Transport Provisions Revievv

The State Government's PPTN reflects the routes where high-quality public transport services are or vill be provided. The PPTN is a statutory land use planning tool that supports the integrated land use and transport planning and aims to provide certainty to the community about the locations that are, or will be, serviced by high-quality public transport.
WVithin the OSE PSP Grices Road/Lecky Road and Officer South Road, north of Grices Road/Lecky Road are identified in the South East Growth Corridor Plan as future PPTN routes.
Thompsons Road and Officer South Road (north of Thompsons Road) are not currently identified as part of the PPTN or as strategically significant future public transport routes by the DoT. However as Primary Arterial Roads they will be bus capable and therefore would provide opportunity for the provision of future high-frequency public transport services.

## 6. Anticipated Future Traffic Volumes

### 6.1. Overvievv

To confirm the appropriateness of the PSP road network and the associated Infrastructure Contributions Plan (ICP) items, the VPA commissioned strategic transport modelling for the precinct to assess the future transport demands based on land use and infrastructure upgrade predictions. This modelling was completed by GHD Pty Ltd with the process and findings documented in the Officer South Employment PSP - Transport Mlodelling Assessment report, final report, dated 7 November 2022, (the GHD Mlodelling report).
The forecast traffic volumes from this modelling vwere used to confirm the appropriateness of the PSP road network and the associated ICP intersections.

This section summarises the traffic generation assessments with the appropriateness of the PSP road network and the associated ICP discussed in Section 7.

### 6.2. Strategic Modelling Revievv

Ratio was provided wwith a copy of the GHD Mlodelling report which documents the strategic modelling undertaken by GHD. This modelling utilised the State Government's Victorian Integrated Transport Mlodel (VITM) to assess future transport demands using land use and infrastructure upgrade predictions for the precinct and surrounding areas.
The land uses and road network within VITMM were updated and refined by GHD based on information provided by the VPA for the PSP area. These changes included the following:

- Disaggregation of the zone structure for the PSP area;
- Updates to the future modelled land use yields for the PSP area; and
- Updates to the PSP area road network.

The above outlined changes are documented in detail in the GHD Modelling report and summarised in the following sub section:

### 6.2.1 VITMM Overvievv

The VITMI is a State Government tool developed by the DoT to assist in the planning of road and public transport infrastructure for Victoria. It is a multimodal strategic model that uses future population, employment, and land use data projections to forecast travel behaviour and the impacts of changes to the road and public transport networks.

The model is a link-based transport model wwich is implemented within the CUBE Voyager software environment.
It is a four-step strategic model, with each step summarised as follows:

1. Traffic Generation: the model generates trips between origins and destinations based on land use inputs and other demographic inputs.
2. Traffic Distribution: the generated trips are distributed between origins and destinations (journeys) based on their relative generation and attraction potential.
3. Mode Choice: transport mode apportions are allocated to journeys based on relative attractiveness.
4. Assignment: The journeys are allocated to the transport network.

Further detail on the structure and use of VITM/ is provided in the GHD Mlodelling report.

### 6.2.2 VITMM Limitations

It is highlighted that VITMM is a strategic-level model, and as such, is a simplified representation of the real world and should be used as a guidance tool. VITM/ therefore provides a coarse but strategic understanding of how user demands will change into the future, including potential mode shifts, and the likely potential performance of the resulting transport network, as well as comparisons of potential infrastructure options.
VITM/s strengths are therefore in its ability to indicate changes brought about by the implementation of transport infrastructure schemes, land use changes or policy driver measures, and the use of outputs in a sensible and pragmatic manner.
The outputs of the transport model must be interpreted in accordance with the design of the model, taking into account the complexity of the model and its probable robustness. The benefit of using VITMM is that once it is validated for an existing situation, it can be used to forecast the effects of a variety of future land uses, transport infrastructure and/or policy changes on travel.

### 6.2.3 VITM/ Inputs

The VITMM inputs for the precinct (including households, jobs and education enrolments) are detailed in the GHD Modelling report. The modelled zone structure and road network are outlined in Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2:
Figure 6.1: VITIM Zone Structure


Figure 6.2: VITIMI Road Network


Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2 show that VITM/ road network and zone structure have been refined to include the Connector Street network within the OSE PSP area and that the modelled zone centroid connectors are located to load trips onto the Connector Street network directly.

### 6.2.4 VITMI Outputs

## Daily Volume Outputs

The resulting ultimate (year 2051) VITM/ daily volume outputs, wuhich represent full build out of the South East Growth Corridor including the OSE precinct and expected transport infrastructure improvements are outlined in Figure 6.3:

Figure 6.3: VITMI 2051 Daily Traffic Volume Outputs


Figure 6.3 indicates that east-vvest movements within the PSP area favour the use of Thompsons Road (Primary Arterial Road) over Lecky Road (Secondary Arterial) given the Primary Arterial status of Thompsons Road and its continuation further east than Lecky Road/Grices Road.

Table 6.1: Existing Road Network and Daily Traffic Volumes

|  | Tvo-VNay Volume (vpd) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Road | East of PSP Area | East of Officer <br> South Road | VNest of PSP <br> Area | VNest of Officer <br> South Road |
| Thompsons Road | 30,000 | 38,800 | 61,700 | 61,400 |
| Lecky Road | 27,000 | 13,000 | 20,100 | 20,100 |
| $\%$ Difference | $10 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

It is noted that the strategic nature of \IITM/ lends to a greater concentration of traffic towards Thompsons Road even though Lecky Road is more centralised vithin the PSP area, and that the Princes Freeway also provides a key east-vvest connection to the north of the study area. In practise, if oversaturated conditions are experienced on Thompsons Road, it is likely that some east-weest traffic wwill re-distribute to Lecky Road/Grices Road and the Princes Freeway.

It is further highlighted that the macro level placement of centroid connectors concentrates traffic onto points on the Connector Street network, rather than dispersing it to more accurately represent how traffic loads onto the network from individual development sites.

## 2-Hour Peak VITM/ Outputs

The AMI 2-hour peak VITIM volumes are outlined in Figure 6.4, and the inbound/outbound trips and the associated directional distributions along key routes are summarised in Table 6.2. These volumes represent inbound and outbound trips at the boundaries of the OSE precinct.
Figure 6.4: VITIM 2051 2-Hour AIM Peak Traffic Volume Outputs


Table 6.2: VITIM AMI 2-hour Peak Volume Analysis

| Location | VITM/ Volume Output |  |  |  | Directional Splits |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| East via Thompsons Road | 2,000 | 2,200 | 4,200 | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Whest via Thompsons Road | 4,400 | 4,100 | 8,500 | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| East via Lecky Road | 2,000 | 1,800 | 3,800 | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| West via Lecky Road | 1,700 | 1,500 | 3,200 | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| North via Officer South Road | 3,500 | 3,300 | 6,800 | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| South via Officer South Road | 100 | 200 | 300 | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 13,700 | 13,100 | 26,800 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The PIMI 2-hour peak VITM/ volumes are outlined in Figure 6.5, and the inbound/outbound trips and the associated directional distributions along key routes are summarised in Table 6.3. These volumes again represent inbound and outbound trips at the boundaries of the OSE precinct.

Figure 6.5: VITMI 2051 2-Hour PIM Peak Traffic Volume Outputs


The PSP inbound/outbound trips and the associated directional distributions along key routes are summarised in Table 6.3:

Table 6.3: VITMI PIVI 2-hour Peak Volume Analysis

| Location | VITIMI Volume Output |  | Directional Splits |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| East via Thompsons Road | 2,500 | 2,000 | 4,500 | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| V/est via Thompsons Road | 4,500 | 4,700 | 9,200 | $31 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| East via Lecky Road | 2,100 | 2,200 | 4,300 | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| VVest via Lecky Road | 1,800 | 2,200 | 4,000 | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| North via Officer South Road | 3,600 | 4,200 | 7,800 | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| South via Officer South Road | 200 | 100 | 300 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 14,700 | 15,400 | 30,100 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

It is highlighted that in both the AMM and PM/ peaks that V/ITM/ distributes more trips to/from the west along Thompsons Road rather than to/from the north along Officer South Road towards the Officer South Road/Princess Freewway Interchange.

## 7. Road Netvvork Capacity Assessment

### 7.1. Overvievv

The transport elements of the PSP were previously outlined in Section 3. The following subsections discuss the appropriateness of the PSP road network from a capacity perspective.

The PSP roads and the road reservation widths are planned to serve several roles including safe and efficient movement of people and goods by road-based transport modes and access to abutting land use. The OSE PSP road network should be planned in recognition of these roles in order to meet the needs of all users including pedestrians and cyclists, public transport services, private passenger vehicles and heavy vehicles.

### 7.2. Suitability of the Road Network

The VPA PSP Note "Our Roads: Connecting People" notes that Connector Streets should provide for up to approximately 7,000 vpd and when volumes exceed this, additional links to the Arterial Road network may be required. The traffic generated by the sub precincts within the PSP was divided by the proposed number of Connector Streets to give the average daily volume carried by each Connector Street (at the OSE PSP boundary).
Table 7.1 presents this analysis. The sub-precincts used for the purpose of this analysis are shown as Figure 7.1.

Table 7.1: Connector Street Volumes by Sub-Precinct

| Sub <br> Precinct | VITIM 2051 Daily Traffic <br> Volumes by Sub Precinct <br> (from Figure 6.3) | Proposed Number of <br> Connector Streets <br> (External Connections) | Average Daily Volume per <br> Connector Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,600 | 2 | 2,300 |
| 2 | 10,900 | 3 | 3,630 |
| 3 | 12,700 | 2 | 6,350 |
| 4 | 13,000 | 5 | 2,600 |
| 5 | 11,100 | 5 | 2,220 |
| 6 | 11,100 | 2 | 5,550 |

Figure 7.1: Sub-Precincts for Assessment of Connector Streets


Table 7.1 demonstrates that the Connector Streets in all sub precincts carry less than the typical 7,000 vpd threshold.

### 7.3. Suitability of the Proposed ICP Intersections

In accordance wvith the VicRoads handbook referenced at Chapter 4, default layouts are generally adopted for planning PSP signalised intersections. In the OSE PSP, four intersections have been identified as requiring a more nuanced assessment that considers local network context and likely unbalanced traffic flows. These intersections are shown below in Figure 7.2 and include:

- IN-01 - located close to freevay interchange;
- INI-05 - expected unbalanced flows resulting from proximity to freevay interchange;
- IN-10 - arterial road/connector road interface with unbalanced flovss; and
- IN-06 - Tovvn Centre access intersection.

Figure 7.2: Location of the OSE PSP ICP Intersections


The assessment process and the resulting outcomes for the nominated intersections are discussed as follows:

## Assessment Methodology

The above-mentioned intersections vvere assessed by deriving ultimate (2051) AMI and PM/ commuter peak hour traffic volumes for the intersections and then testing the intersection layout requirements needed to cater for the expected traffic volumes. The VITM/l peak turning volumes for intersections were factored from two hours to one hour using a factor of 0.55.
The followving assumptions vvere also applied:

- A negligible level of background traffic given the location of the PSP area on the fringe of Urban Grovvth Boundary and the makeup of the surrounding road network (noting that adjacent growth areas are also serviced wvith connections to the Princess Freevway negating the need for traffic from these areas having to use the Officer South Road/Princess Freevvay interchange).
- $15 \%$ of traffic movements through the intersections vvere heavy vehicles (to account for access to Business and Industrial uses wvithin the OSE PSP area).
- The tested signalised intersections were all modelled with a cycle time of 120 s .


## SIDRA Intersection Softvvare

SIDRA Intersection software was used to determine the intersection requirements. It is a micro-analytical software tool used as an aid for the evaluation and design of intersections.
It is commonly used to test intersection capacity, Level of Service (LOS) and performance. A commonly used measure of intersection performance is the Degree of Saturation (DOS). The DOS represents the flow-to-capacity ratio for the most critical movement on each leg of the intersection. For signalised intersections, a DOS of around 0.95 has been considered the typical "acceptable" limit, beyond which queues and delays increase disproportionately. ${ }^{2}$
Although operating conditions with a DOS of close to 1.00 are undesirable, it is acknovvledged that this level of congestion is typical of many urban intersections during the AMI and PIM commuter peak hours.
SIDRA was used to assess the intersection layout requirements of the assessed intersections. Initially the intersections vvere assessed using the Benchmark ICP intersection layouts, if the DOS was found to be greater than 1.00 the layout of the intersection was altered until it returned to less than 1.00. The results of the SIDRA modelling and the resulting intersection layout recommendations are outlined in the followving sections.

The intersections vvere modelled wvith split phasing in some cases and overlapping (concurrent) right turns in other cases, whichever proved to be the most efficient in each timeperiod. The modelled layouts of the intersections would allow either split or concurrent phasing and it is recommended that this be allowved for in the designs.

## IN-01 Officer South Road / Connector Street Intersection

The tested AM/ and PM/ commuter traffic volumes are included in Appendix A.
The adopted intersection layout alongside the ICP Benchmark Intersection is outlined in Figure 7.3:
${ }^{2}$ SIDRA adopts the followving criteria it its Level of Service assessment:

|  |  | Intersection Degree of Saturation (DOS) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level of Service <br> (LOS) | Unsignalised <br> Intersection |  | Signalised <br> Intersection | Roundabout |
| A | Excellent | $<=0.60$ | $<=0.60$ | $<=0.60$ |
| B | Very Good | $0.60-0.70$ | $0.60-0.70$ | $0.60-0.70$ |
| C | Good | $0.70-0.80$ | $0.70-0.90$ | $0.70-0.85$ |
| D | Acceptable | $0.80-0.90$ | $0.90-0.95$ | $0.85-0.95$ |
| E | Poor | $0.90-1.00$ | $0.95-1.00$ | $0.95-1.00$ |
| F | Very Poor | $>=1.00$ | $>=1.00$ | $>=1.00$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 7.3: IN-01 Officer South Road / Connector Street Intersection Layout Requirements


Based on the layouts shown in Figure 7.4 no departures from the VicRoads intersection for Primary Arterial / Industrial or High Turning Volume Connector Street intersection are recommended.
The full results of the SIDRA modelling and the modelled intersection layout are included in Appendix A and are summarised below in Table 7.2:

Table 7.2: IN-01 Officer South Road / Connector Street Intersection - SIDRA Outputs

| Approach | Movement | AIM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length ( m ) | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length ( m ) |
| Officer South Road (South) | Left | 0.022 | 9 | 2 | 0.028 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Through | 0.629 | 34 | 157 | 0.691 | 27 | 212 |
|  | Right | 0.312 | 58 | 31 | 0.659 | 68 | 44 |
| Boulevard <br> Connector <br> Street (East) | Left | 0.157 | 11 | 23 | 0.161 | 11 | 20 |
|  | Through | 0.037 | 37 | 6 | 0.038 | 42 | 5 |
|  | Right | 0.708 | 51 | 127 | 0.678 | 55 | 99 |
| Officer South Road (North) | Left | 0.126 | 8 | 8 | 0.232 | 8 | 20 |
|  | Through | 0.716 | 36 | 186 | 0.577 | 25 | 164 |
|  | Right | 0.680 | 61 | 74 | 0.662 | 68 | 45 |
| Industrial Connector Street (V/est) | Left | 0.139 | 17 | 18 | 0.679 | 25 | 114 |
|  | Through | 0.066 | 62 | 3 | 0.251 | 63 | 11 |
|  | Right | 0.024 | 67 | 1 | 0.095 | 68 | 4 |
| Intersection |  | 0.716 | 36 | 186 | 0.691 | 29 | 212 |

The intersection is expected to operate a "good" to "very good" level during the AN/ and PM/ peak periods.
V/hilst the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue (value beloww which 95 percent of all observed cycle queue lengths fall, or 5 percent of all observed queue lengths exceed) for the movement towards the Princes Freevway in the PM was modelled to be 212 m , the average associated delay of 27 s indicates that on average, vehicles wvill clear the intersection within one cycle.

It is noted that the modelled queue in the left turn lane on the Industrial Connector (VVest) approach is 114 m and exceeds the 50 m length of the lane, in the PM/ peak only.
This lane could be lengthened to contain this queue however this is not seen as essential. The left turn movement is the dominant movement on this approach (making up $92 \%$ of the volume). It has a modelled volume of 356 vehicles per hour (vph) compared to the expected volume of 23 vph in the adjacent through lane and 8 vph in the right turn lane. The impact of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue from the left turn extending into the adjacent through lane is expected to be minimal, meaning there is limited benefit in extending the left turn lane.

## IN-05 Officer South Road / Lecky Road Intersection

The tested AM/ and PM/ commuter traffic volumes are included in Appendix A.
The adopted intersection layout alongside the ICP Benchmark Intersection is outlined in Figure 7.4:

Figure 7.4: IN-05 Officer South Road / Lecky Road Intersection Layout Requirements


Based on the layouts shown in Figure 7.4 no departures are recommended from the ICP Benchmark intersection for Primary Arterial / Secondary Arterial Road intersection.
The full results of the SIDRA modelling and the modelled intersection layout are included in Appendix A and are summarised belowv in Table 7.3:

Table 7.3: INN-05 Officer South Road / Lecky Road Intersection - SIDRA Outputs

| Approach | Movement | AINI Peak Hour |  |  | PIM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile Queue Length ( m ) | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length ( m ) |
| Officer South Road (South) | Left | 0.015 | 9 | 2 | 0.022 | 9 | 2 |
|  | Through | 0.677 | 45 | 126 | 0.840 | 50 | 208 |
|  | Right | 0.104 | 58 | 9 | 0.228 | 63 | 16 |
| Lecky Road (East) | Left | 0.041 | 10 | 5 | 0.051 | 10 | 6 |
|  | Through | 0.339 | 47 | 45 | 0.242 | 40 | 39 |
|  | Right | 0.763 | 55 | 144 | 0.851 | 63 | 172 |
| Officer South Road (North) | Left | 0.188 | 8 | 14 | 0.259 | 8 | 30 |
|  | Through | 0.745 | 47 | 145 | 0.658 | 41 | 140 |
|  | Right | 0.725 | 65 | 72 | 0.845 | 74 | 67 |
| Lecky Road (V/est) | Left | 0.287 | 19 | 56 | 0.519 | 27 | 113 |
|  | Through | 0.278 | 46 | 37 | 0.407 | 47 | 55 |
|  | Right | 0.021 | 43 | 3 | 0.042 | 50 | 5 |
| Intersection |  | 0.763 | 43 | 145 | 0.851 | 44 | 208 |

The above SIDRA results indicate that the intersection is expected to operate an "good" level during the commuter peak hours.
It is noted that turn lane $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues extend beyond lane lengths in the followving locations/times:

- Right turn queues on Lecky Road east in the AMI and PMM peak; and
- Left turn queues on Lecky Road west in the PM peak.

At all the above times, adjacent through lane queue lengths are substantially less than adjacent through lane lengths such that turning vehicles can overspill into the adjacent through lane with no impact on intersection performance. As such there is no benefit to increasing turn lanes to match predicted queue lengths.

## IN-06 Lecky Road / Connector Street Intersection

The tested $\mathrm{AM} /$ and $\mathrm{PM} /$ commuter traffic volumes are included in Appendix A .
The adopted intersection layout alongside the ICP Benchmark Intersection is outlined in Figure 7.5:

Figure 7.5: IN-06 Lecky Road / Connector Street Intersection Layout Requirements


Based on the layouts shown in Figure 7.5, no departures from the ICP Benchmark are recommended.

The full results of the SIDRA modelling and the modelling intersection layout are included in Appendix A and summarised below in Table 7.4:

Table 7.4: IN-06 Lecky Road / Connector Street Intersection - SIDRA Outputs

| Approach | Mlovement | AIN Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length (m) | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile Queue Length ( m ) |
| Industrial Connector Street (South) | Left | 0.044 | 51 | 5 | 0.080 | 51 | 9 |
|  | Through | 0.044 | 46 | 5 | 0.080 | 47 | 9 |
|  | Right | 0.343 | 63 | 22 | 0.492 | 58 | 49 |
| Lecky Road (East) | Left | 0.128 | 27 | 26 | 0.117 | 32 | 22 |
|  | Through | 0.375 | 23 | 90 | 0.491 | 30 | 110 |
|  | Right | 0.368 | 61 | 32 | 0.501 | 60 | 50 |
| Boulevard Connector Street (North) | Left | 0.238 | 44 | 36 | 0.258 | 45 | 40 |
|  | Through | 0.238 | 40 | 36 | 0.258 | 41 | 40 |
|  | Right | 0.007 | 60 | 1 | 0.004 | 53 | 1 |
| Lecky Road (V/est) | Left | 0.001 | 25 | 1 | 0.025 | 31 | 5 |
|  | Through | 0.277 | 22 | 64 | 0.460 | 30 | 107 |
|  | Right | 0.046 | 58 | 4 | 0.058 | 56 | 6 |
| Intersection |  | 0.375 | 28 | 90 | 0.501 | 35 | 110 |

The above SIDRA results indicate that the intersection is expected to operate an "excellent" level during the AMI and PM/ commuter peak hours.
All $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are contained vithin the lane lengths at this intersection in the AM/ and $\mathrm{PM} /$ peaks.

## IN-10 Officer South Road / Thompsons Road Intersection

The tested ANM and PM/ commuter traffic volumes are included in Appendix A.
The adopted intersection layout alongside the ICP Benchmark Intersection is outlined in Figure 7.6:

Figure 7.6: IN-10 Officer South Road / Thompsons Road Intersection Layout Requirements


Based on the layouts shown in Figure 7.6 the recommended departures from the ICP Benchmark intersection for Primary Arterial / Primary Arterial intersection are outlined as follows:

1. Reduced capacity (number of lanes) for movements into and out of the Officer South Road (south) approach to the intersection. This leg of the intersection carries lower traffic volumes and is an Industrial Connector Street (as opposed to a Primary Arterial Road which is assumed in the benchmark design).
2. Allocating the road space on the Officer South Road (north) approach to give three lanes to the right turn movement and one lane each to the through and left movements. This reflects the high demand for movement from north to west and the relatively low demand for movement from north to south and east.
The proposed arrangement makes efficient use of the three departure lanes that are available on Thompsons Road westbound, whilst keeping the overall number of approach lanes (5) below what is typically provided on a primary arterial (6). It also keeps the typical crosssection of Officer South Road (south) to one lane in each direction (no downstream merge).
The full results of the SIDRA modelling and the modelled intersection layout are included in Appendix A and summarised below in Table 7.5:

Table 7.5: IN-10 Officer South Road / Thompsons Road Intersection - SIDRA Outputs

| Approach | Movement | AM/ Peak Hour |  |  | PMM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length ( $m$ ) | DOS | Average Delay (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue <br> Length ( m ) |
| Officer South Road (South) | Left | 0.004 | 21 | 1 | 0.009 | 25 | 2 |
|  | Through | 0.203 | 47 | 24 | 0.542 | 50 | 67 |
|  | Right | 0.003 | 51 | 1 | 0.003 | 51 | 1 |
| Thompsons Road (East) | Left | 0.001 | 8 | 1 | 0.001 | 8 | 0 |
|  | Through | 0.851 | 42 | 280 | 0.832 | 40 | 260 |
|  | Right | 0.185 | 70 | 7 | 0.262 | 70 | 11 |
| Officer South Road (North) | Left | 0.041 | 14 | 5 | 0.051 | 18 | 9 |
|  | Through | 0.364 | 47 | 47 | 0.260 | 45 | 34 |
|  | Right | 0.710 | 59 | 99 | 0.975 | 106 | 214 |
| Thompsons Road (V/est) | Left | 0.643 | 8 | 89 | 0.670 | 11 | 138 |
|  | Through | 0.778 | 35 | 226 | 0.951 | 72 | 416 |
|  | Right | 0.571 | 71 | 23 | 0.614 | 72 | 25 |
| Intersection |  | 0.851 | 37 | 280 | 0.975 | 57 | 416 |

The intersection is expected to operate a "good" level of service in the AM/ and a "poor" level of service in the PMI, with a DoS just below the capacity of the intersection.
The DoS condition $>0.95$ occurs only in the PM/ peak and affects two movements (the right turn from Officer South Road (North) and the through movement from Thompsons Road (V/est)). These movements operate with average delays of 106 seconds/vehicle and 72seconds/vehicle, so are clearing the intersection in 1-2 cycles on average.
A larger intersection layout was tested and offers some benefits to vehicle performance including a change from DoS 0.975 to 0.915 and a reduction in average delay of approximately 11 seconds across the whole intersection. These are relatively small changes and there are disbenefits including increased crossing time for pedestrians, as well as the cost and an extent of construction to provide additional lanes on both approach and departure legs. On that basis, further changes are not recommended to the above design.
The modelled queue in the right turn lane from Officer South Road (North) is 214 m , which exceeds the length of the 150 m short lane, in the PM/ peak only. This lane could be extended however this is not seen as essential given that this is a short lane adjacent to two other full length right turn lanes. Lengthening the lane to 215 m was tested and made no difference to delay or DoS performance.

## 8. Summary and Conclusions

### 8.1. Overvievv

The Officer South Employment precinct is located approximately 45km southeast of Melbourne's central business district within Melbourne's South East Growvth Corridor. In accordance with Government strategic planning policy directions, the precinct will deliver a State Significant Industrial Precinct and Regionally Significant Commercial precinct.

The Officer South Employment (OSE) Precinct Structure Plan (PSP) will guide the development of the precinct and is currently being prepared by the Victorian Planning Authority (VPA) in working partnership with Cardinia Shire Council, State Government Agencies and service authorities.
On completion the PSP is expected to provide some 22,000 jobs and deliver some 1,600 nevv homes.

Ratio Consultants (Ratio) has been engaged to undertake an ITA, the details of which are included in this report, which will form one part of a suite of technical assessments that will assist the V/PA in developing the PSP.

### 8.2. Draft Public Transport and Active Path Netvvorks Plan Revievs

The draft Public Transport and Active Path Networks Plan for the PSP includes the provision of a series of on and off-road bike paths, bus capable roads, and crossing opportunities for pedestrians at signalized intersections and pedestrian bridges. Footpaths will also be provided on both sides of all Arterial Roads and Connector Streets wvithin the PSP area.

## VValking Network Revievs

The walking network outlined in the draft Public Transport and Active Path Networks Plan includes the provision of Boulevard Connector Streets/Connector Streets wwhich include footpaths on both sides of the carriageway. A series of shared paths is also provided, which suitably balances the primary industrial/business land use needs of the OSE PSP viith pedestrian movement and connectivity throughout the PSP area. The pedestrian provisions outlined on the Plan are considered appropriate for the PSP purposes.

## Cycling

The draft Public Transport and Active Path Networks Plan also includes dedicated bike lanes or off-road 2-vway bike lanes along all the Connector Streets and Arterial Roads wvithin the PSP area, furthermore the Plan shows the provision of off-road shared paths adjacent to open spaces and waterways which all connect to the on-road bicycle provisions. The cyclist provisions outlined on the Plan are considered appropriate for the PSP purposes.

## Public Transport

W/ithin the OSE PSP area, Lecky Road and Officer South Road, north of Leaky Road are nominated PPTN routes. Officer South Road, south of Lecky Road and Thompsons Road are however not nominated PPTN routes.

Consideration should be given to nominating the Officer South Road (south to Thompsons Road) and Thompsons Road as part of the PPTIN given that the OSE PSP will deliver a State Significant Industrial Precinct and a Regionally Significant Commercial precinct to allow for the provision of high-quality public transport throughout the OSE PSP area.

### 8.3. Draft PSP Road Netvvork Plan Revievv

In order to confirm the appropriateness of the PSP road netvoork and the associated ICP items, the V/PA commissioned strategic transport modelling and traffic analysis for the precinct to assess the future traffic volumes generated by the precinct.
It was found that the draft PSP Road Netvork Plan includes an appropriate number of Connector Streets to cater for the traffic volumes expected to be generated by the PSP.
At the request of the V/PA, the form of four ICP intersections wvere also assessed to determine if departures from the ICP Benchmark and VicRoads Intersection designs vvere needed to cater for the ultimate expected peak hour traffic volumes. The tested intersections and the recommended departures from the Benchmark ICP intersection layouts are summarised as follows:

Table 8.1: Summary of Departures from the Benchmark ICP and VicRoads Intersection Layouts

$$
\text { Intersection } \quad \text { Departures from the Benchmark ICP and VicRoads Layouts }
$$

IN-01 Officer South
Road / Connector No departures from the VicRoads layout are recommended.
Street

IN-05 Officer South
Road / Lecky Road
No departures from the ICP Benchmark are recommended.

IN-06 Lecky Road /
Connector Street

1. Reduced capacity (number of lanes) into and out of Officer South Road (south) approach, reflecting the lover volumes and classification of this road.
IN-10 Officer South
Road / Thompsons Road
2. Allocating the road space on the Officer South Road (north) approach to give three lanes to the right turn movement and one

No departures from the ICP Benchmark are recommended. lane each to the through and left movements. This reflects the high demand for movement from north to west and the relatively low demand for the other movements.

It is highlighted that Ratio vas requested to revievv the appropriateness of four ICP intersections only. In accordance with the VicRoads handbook referenced at Chapter 4, default layouts are generally adopted for planning PSP signalised intersections. In the OSE PSP, one intersection vas identified as benefiting from an alternative layout (within the same overall land footprint) that considers local network context and the expected pattern of traffic movements.

## Appendix A - SIDRA Outputs

## SITE LAYOUT

目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - AM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment

## PSP)]

AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated
Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - AM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]

## AM Peak Hour

Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ND NS HV ] \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF UE Dist] | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 28 | 15.0 | 28 | 15.0 | 0.022 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.20 | 55.3 |
| 2 T1 | 1233 | 15.0 | 1233 | 15.0 | 0.629 | 34.3 | LOS C | 19.9 | 157.2 | 0.89 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 42.3 |
| 3 R2 | 141 | 10.0 | 141 | 10.0 | 0.312 | 57.5 | LOS E | 4.1 | 31.4 | 0.94 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 31.8 |
| Approach | 1402 | 14.5 | 1402 | 14.5 | 0.629 | 36.1 | LOS D | 19.9 | 157.2 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.88 | 41.2 |
| East: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 163 | 10.0 | 163 | 10.0 | 0.157 | 11.4 | LOS B | 3.1 | 23.3 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 50.2 |
| 5 T1 | 17 | 10.0 | 17 | 10.0 | 0.037 | 36.6 | LOS D | 0.7 | 5.7 | 0.78 | 0.56 | 0.78 | 37.9 |
| 6 R2 | 307 | 10.0 | 307 | 10.0 | * 0.708 | 50.9 | LOS D | 16.7 | 127.1 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 32.9 |
| Approach | 487 | 10.0 | 487 | 10.0 | 0.708 | 37.2 | LOS D | 16.7 | 127.1 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 37.4 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 185 | 10.0 | 185 | 10.0 | 0.126 | 7.5 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.16 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 56.2 |
| 8 T1 | 1378 | 15.0 | 1378 | 15.0 | * 0.716 | 35.5 | LOS D | 23.6 | 186.3 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 41.7 |
| 9 R2 | 297 | 15.0 | 297 | 15.0 | * 0.680 | 61.3 | LOS E | 9.4 | 74.4 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 1.02 | 30.7 |
| Approach | 1860 | 14.5 | 1860 | 14.5 | 0.716 | 36.8 | LOS D | 23.6 | 186.3 | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 40.5 |
| West: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 92 | 15.0 | 92 | 15.0 | 0.139 | 16.7 | LOS B | 2.3 | 18.0 | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 46.1 |
| 11 T1 | 6 | 10.0 | 6 | 10.0 | * 0.066 | 61.5 | LOS E | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.98 | 0.64 | 0.98 | 30.2 |
| 12 R 2 | 2 | 15.0 | 2 | 15.0 | 0.024 | 66.6 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.97 | 0.61 | 0.97 | 28.5 |
| Approach | 100 | 14.7 | 100 | 14.7 | 0.139 | 20.4 | LOS C | 2.3 | 18.0 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.54 | 44.1 |
| All Vehicles | 3849 | 13.9 | 3849 | 13.9 | 0.716 | 36.2 | LOS D | 23.6 | 186.3 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.85 | 40.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Movement Performance

| Mov ID Crossing | Input Vol. <br> ped/h | Dem. Flow ped/h | Aver. Delay $\qquad$ <br> sec | Level of AVERAGE BACK OF Service QUEUE |  |  | Prop. Effective Que Stop Rate |  | Travel Time <br> sec | Travel Aver. Dist. Speed$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |
| East: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 221.3 | 217.2 | 0.98 |


| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P3 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 |
| West: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 221.3 | 217.2 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 226.4 | 223.8 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - AM
Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)
Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 97 | 0 | 49 | 61 |
| Green Time (sec) | 17 | 43 | 6 | 30 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 23 | 49 | 12 | 36 |
| Phase Split | $19 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than $100 \%$.

## Output Phase Sequence



REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| $\Rightarrow$ Normal Movement | $\Rightarrow$ Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Rightarrow$ Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | $\checkmark$ Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | $\Rightarrow$ Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| $\square$ Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

## 目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - PM

 Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
## PSP)]

PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - PM
Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]

## PM Peak Hour

Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ND NS HV ] \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF UE Dist ] | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 39 | 15.0 | 39 | 15.0 | 0.028 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.17 | 0.61 | 0.17 | 55.7 |
| 2 T1 | 1713 | 15.0 | 1713 | 15.0 | * 0.691 | 26.5 | LOS C | 26.9 | 212.3 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 46.5 |
| 3 R2 | 175 | 10.0 | 175 | 10.0 | 0.659 | 67.5 | LOS E | 5.8 | 43.9 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 29.2 |
| Approach | 1927 | 14.5 | 1927 | 14.5 | 0.691 | 29.9 | LOS C | 26.9 | 212.3 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 44.3 |
| East: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 146 | 10.0 | 146 | 10.0 | 0.161 | 10.8 | LOS B | 2.6 | 19.8 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 0.37 | 50.7 |
| 5 T1 | 14 | 10.0 | 14 | 10.0 | 0.038 | 41.6 | LOS D | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 36.0 |
| 6 R2 | 235 | 10.0 | 235 | 10.0 | * 0.678 | 54.8 | LOS D | 13.1 | 99.2 | 0.98 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 31.8 |
| Approach | 395 | 10.0 | 395 | 10.0 | 0.678 | 38.1 | LOS D | 13.1 | 99.2 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 37.0 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 337 | 10.0 | 337 | 10.0 | 0.232 | 8.0 | LOSA | 2.7 | 20.2 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 0.21 | 55.8 |
| 8 T1 | 1471 | 15.0 | 1471 | 15.0 | 0.577 | 24.9 | LOS C | 20.7 | 163.9 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.78 | 47.5 |
| 9 R2 | 170 | 15.0 | 170 | 15.0 | * 0.662 | 67.7 | LOS E | 5.6 | 44.5 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 29.1 |
| Approach | 1978 | 14.1 | 1978 | 14.1 | 0.662 | 25.7 | LOS C | 20.7 | 163.9 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 46.1 |
| West: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 356 | 15.0 | 356 | 15.0 | 0.679 | 25.0 | LOS C | 14.5 | 114.2 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 41.7 |
| 11 T1 | 23 | 10.0 | 23 | 10.0 | * 0.251 | 63.2 | LOS E | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.99 | 0.70 | 0.99 | 29.8 |
| 12 R 2 | 8 | 15.0 | 8 | 15.0 | 0.095 | 67.8 | LOS E | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 0.98 | 28.3 |
| Approach | 387 | 14.7 | 387 | 14.7 | 0.679 | 28.2 | LOS C | 14.5 | 114.2 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 40.3 |
| All Vehicles | 4687 | 14.0 | 4687 | 14.0 | 0.691 | 28.7 | LOS C | 26.9 | 212.3 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 43.9 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Movement Performance

| Mov ID Crossing | Input Vol. ped/h | Dem. Flow ped/h | Aver. Delay $\qquad$ <br> sec | Level of AVERAGE BACK OF Service QUEUE |  |  | Prop. Effective Que Stop Rate |  | Travel Time <br> sec | Travel Dist. $\qquad$ m | Aver. Speed <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |
| East: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 221.3 | 217.2 | 0.98 |


| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P3 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 |
| West: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 221.3 | 217.2 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 226.4 | 223.8 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-01 [IN-01 Officer South Rd/ Connector Street - PM
Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)
Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 104 | 0 | 62 | 74 |
| Green Time (sec) | 10 | 56 | 6 | 24 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 16 | 62 | 12 | 30 |
| Phase Split | $13 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence


REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| Normal Movement | Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | U Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

## 目 Site: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - AM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - AM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]

AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | AND WS HV ] \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% <br> [ Veh. <br> veh | CK OF UE Dist] | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 19 | 15.0 | 19 | 15.0 | 0.015 | 9.1 | LOSA | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 54.2 |
| 2 T1 | 894 | 15.0 | 894 | 15.0 | 0.677 | 44.8 | LOS D | 16.0 | 126.2 | 0.96 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 37.8 |
| 3 R2 | 45 | 10.0 | 45 | 10.0 | 0.104 | 57.7 | LOS E | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.92 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 32.0 |
| Approach | 958 | 14.8 | 958 | 14.8 | 0.677 | 44.7 | LOS D | 16.0 | 126.2 | 0.95 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 37.7 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 46 | 15.0 | 46 | 15.0 | 0.041 | 10.0 | LOS B | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 53.5 |
| 5 T1 | 221 | 15.0 | 221 | 15.0 | * 0.339 | 46.6 | LOS D | 5.7 | 45.2 | 0.92 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 37.3 |
| 6 R2 | 320 | 15.0 | 320 | 15.0 | * 0.763 | 54.6 | LOS D | 18.2 | 144.2 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 1.06 | 32.7 |
| Approach | 587 | 15.0 | 587 | 15.0 | 0.763 | 48.1 | LOS D | 18.2 | 144.2 | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 35.4 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 253 | 15.0 | 253 | 15.0 | 0.188 | 7.7 | LOSA | 1.8 | 14.4 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 55.4 |
| 8 T1 | 984 | 15.0 | 984 | 15.0 | * 0.745 | 47.2 | LOS D | 18.4 | 145.2 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 1.03 | 36.9 |
| 9 R2 | 304 | 15.0 | 304 | 15.0 | * 0.725 | 65.1 | LOS E | 9.1 | 72.1 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 1.11 | 29.8 |
| Approach | 1541 | 15.0 | 1541 | 15.0 | 0.745 | 44.3 | LOS D | 18.4 | 145.2 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 37.2 |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 252 | 15.0 | 252 | 15.0 | 0.287 | 18.6 | LOS B | 7.1 | 56.2 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.56 | 47.6 |
| 11 T1 | 181 | 15.0 | 181 | 15.0 | 0.278 | 46.0 | LOS D | 4.6 | 36.5 | 0.90 | 0.71 | 0.90 | 37.5 |
| 12 R 2 | 9 | 15.0 | 9 | 15.0 | 0.021 | 42.9 | LOS D | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 36.5 |
| Approach | 442 | 15.0 | 442 | 15.0 | 0.287 | 30.3 | LOS C | 7.1 | 56.2 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 42.6 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 3528 | 14.9 | 3528 | 14.9 | 0.763 | 43.3 | LOS D | 18.4 | 145.2 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 37.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

| Pedestrian Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {ID }}^{\text {Mov }} \text { Crossing }$ | Input Vol. <br> ped/h | Dem. Flow ped/h | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | VERAG <br> [Ped ped | ACK OF E Dist ] | Prop. Que | fective <br> Stop <br> Rate | Travel Time sec | Travel Dist. <br> m | Aver. Speed <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 223.9 | 220.5 | 0.98 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| P3 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 223.9 | 220.5 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 227.7 | 225.5 | 0.99 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

## 目ite: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - AM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 99 | 0 | 35 | 71 |
| Green Time (sec) | 15 | 29 | 30 | 22 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 21 | 35 | 36 | 28 |
| Phase Split | $18 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence
Phase A

REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| Normal Movement | Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | U Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

## 目 Site: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - PM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - PM Peak

 [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INF } \\ & \text { VOL؛ } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEM } \\ \text { FLO } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay $\qquad$ sec | Level of Service |  | CK OF Dist ] m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> km/h |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 28 | 15.0 | 28 | 15.0 | 0.022 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.23 | 0.62 | 0.23 | 54.5 |
| 2 T1 | 1290 | 15.0 | 1290 | 15.0 | * 0.840 | 50.1 | LOS D | 26.3 | 207.7 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.14 | 35.9 |
| 3 R2 | 70 | 15.0 | 70 | 15.0 | 0.228 | 63.4 | LOS E | 2.0 | 15.6 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 30.2 |
| Approach | 1388 | 15.0 | 1388 | 15.0 | 0.840 | 49.9 | LOS D | 26.3 | 207.7 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 35.8 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 56 | 15.0 | 56 | 15.0 | 0.051 | 10.1 | LOS B | 0.7 | 5.9 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 53.5 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 208 | 15.0 | 208 | 15.0 | 0.242 | 39.6 | LOS D | 4.9 | 38.9 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 40.1 |
| 6 R2 | 345 | 15.0 | 345 | 15.0 | * 0.851 | 62.5 | LOS E | 21.8 | 172.0 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.20 | 30.6 |
| Approach | 609 | 15.0 | 609 | 15.0 | 0.851 | 49.8 | LOS D | 21.8 | 172.0 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 34.8 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 338 | 15.0 | 338 | 15.0 | 0.259 | 8.4 | LOS A | 3.3 | 26.4 | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.25 | 54.8 |
| 8 T1 | 1019 | 15.0 | 1019 | 15.0 | 0.658 | 41.0 | LOS D | 17.6 | 139.1 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 39.4 |
| 9 R2 | 260 | 15.0 | 260 | 15.0 | * 0.845 | 74.0 | LOS E | 8.5 | 66.9 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.37 | 27.8 |
| Approach | 1617 | 15.0 | 1617 | 15.0 | 0.845 | 39.5 | LOS D | 17.6 | 139.1 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 39.0 |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 374 | 15.0 | 374 | 15.0 | 0.519 | 26.6 | LOS C | 14.3 | 112.7 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 43.1 |
| 11 T1 | 265 | 15.0 | 265 | 15.0 | * 0.407 | 47.3 | LOS D | 7.0 | 55.0 | 0.93 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 37.0 |
| 12 R 2 | 13 | 15.0 | 13 | 15.0 | 0.042 | 50.2 | LOS D | 0.6 | 5.0 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 34.0 |
| Approach | 652 | 15.0 | 652 | 15.0 | 0.519 | 35.5 | LOS D | 14.3 | 112.7 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 40.2 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 4266 | 15.0 | 4266 | 15.0 | 0.851 | 43.7 | LOS D | 26.3 | 207.7 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 37.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

| Pedestrian Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID Crossing | Input Vol. <br> ped/h | Dem. Flow ped/h | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of AVERAGE BACK OF Service QUEUE |  |  | Prop. Effective Que $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stop } \\ & \text { Rate }\end{aligned}$ |  | Travel Time | Travel Dist. | Aver. <br> Speed <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 223.9 | 220.5 | 0.98 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| P3 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 223.9 | 220.5 | 0.98 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 227.7 | 225.5 | 0.99 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

## 目ite: IN-05 [IN-05 Officer South Rd/ Lecky Road - PM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

| Phase Timing Summary |
| :--- |
| Phase |
| Phase |
| A |
| Phase Change Time (sec) |
| Bree Time (sec) |
| Phase Time (sec) |
| Phase Split |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence


REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| $\Rightarrow$ Normal Movement | $\Rightarrow$ Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Rightarrow$ Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | $\checkmark$ Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | $\Rightarrow$ Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| $\square$ Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-06 [IN-06 Lecky Rd/ Connector Street - AM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]

AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INP } \\ & \text { VOLU } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay sec $\qquad$ | Level of Service | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { B } \\ \text { Qu } \\ \text { [ Veh. } \\ \text { veh } \end{gathered}$ | CK OF Dist ] m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| South: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 1 | 15.0 | 1 | 15.0 | 0.044 | 50.9 | LOS D | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 31.4 |
| 2 T1 | 11 | 15.0 | 11 | 15.0 | 0.044 | 46.2 | LOS D | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 30.6 |
| 3 R2 | 48 | 15.0 | 48 | 15.0 | * 0.343 | 63.3 | LOS E | 2.8 | 22.0 | 0.98 | 0.75 | 0.98 | 27.6 |
| Approach | 60 | 15.0 | 60 | 15.0 | 0.343 | 60.0 | LOS E | 2.8 | 22.0 | 0.96 | 0.72 | 0.96 | 28.2 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 97 | 15.0 | 97 | 15.0 | 0.128 | 27.0 | LOS C | 3.3 | 25.8 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.62 | 40.5 |
| 5 T1 | 587 | 15.0 | 587 | 15.0 | * 0.375 | 23.1 | LOS C | 11.4 | 90.0 | 0.70 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 48.5 |
| 6 R2 | 72 | 15.0 | 72 | 15.0 | * 0.368 | 61.1 | LOS E | 4.0 | 31.8 | 0.96 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 29.4 |
| Approach | 756 | 15.0 | 756 | 15.0 | 0.375 | 27.3 | LOS C | 11.4 | 90.0 | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 44.6 |
| North: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 83 | 15.0 | 83 | 15.0 | 0.238 | 44.3 | LOS D | 4.5 | 35.9 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 32.2 |
| 8 T1 | 13 | 15.0 | 13 | 15.0 | * 0.238 | 39.6 | LOS D | 4.5 | 35.9 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 31.3 |
| 9 R2 | 1 | 15.0 | 1 | 15.0 | 0.007 | 59.6 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.93 | 0.59 | 0.93 | 28.4 |
| Approach | 97 | 15.0 | 97 | 15.0 | 0.238 | 43.8 | LOS D | 4.5 | 35.9 | 0.85 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 32.0 |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 1 | 15.0 | 1 | 15.0 | 0.001 | 25.4 | LOS C | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 41.2 |
| 11 T1 | 443 | 15.0 | 443 | 15.0 | 0.277 | 22.0 | LOS C | 8.0 | 63.6 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 49.2 |
| 12 R 2 | 9 | 15.0 | 9 | 15.0 | 0.046 | 58.0 | LOS E | 0.5 | 3.8 | 0.91 | 0.68 | 0.91 | 30.1 |
| Approach | 453 | 15.0 | 453 | 15.0 | 0.277 | 22.8 | LOS C | 8.0 | 63.6 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 48.6 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 1366 | 15.0 | 1366 | 15.0 | 0.375 | 28.4 | LOS C | 11.4 | 90.0 | 0.72 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 43.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

| Pedestrian Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov <br> ID Crossing | Input Vol. <br> ped/h | Dem. Flow $\mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{h}$ | Aver. Delay sec | Level of AVERAGE BACK OF Service QUEUE |  |  | Prop. Que | ctive <br> Stop <br> Rate | Travel Time | Travel Aver. Dist. Speed |  |
| South: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 218.8 | 213.9 | 0.98 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 226.4 | 223.8 | 0.99 |

[^1]| P3 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 218.8 | 213.9 | 0.98 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 226.4 | 223.8 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 222.6 | 218.9 | 0.98 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-06 [IN-06 Lecky Rd/ Connector Street - AM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 100 | 0 | 60 | 76 |
| Green Time (sec) | 14 | 54 | 10 | 18 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 20 | 60 | 16 | 24 |
| Phase Split | $17 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence
Phase A

REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| Normal Movement | Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | U Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

目 Site: IN-06 [IN-06 Lecky Rd/ Connector Street - PM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-06 [IN-06 Lecky Rd/ Connector Street - PM Peak [Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]

PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CK OF } \\ \text { UE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate |  | Aver Speed <br> km/h |
| South: Industrial Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 3 | 15.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 0.080 | 51.4 | LOS D | 1.1 | 8.7 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.88 | 31.2 |
| 2 T1 | 19 | 15.0 | 19 | 15.0 | 0.080 | 46.7 | LOS D | 1.1 | 8.7 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.88 | 30.4 |
| 3 R2 | 110 | 15.0 | 110 | 15.0 | * 0.492 | 58.3 | LOS E | 6.2 | 48.7 | 0.97 | 0.79 | 0.97 | 28.7 |
| Approach | 132 | 15.0 | 132 | 15.0 | 0.492 | 56.5 | LOS E | 6.2 | 48.7 | 0.96 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 29.0 |
| East: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 75 | 15.0 | 75 | 15.0 | 0.117 | 32.0 | LOS C | 2.8 | 22.1 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 38.3 |
| 5 T1 | 609 | 15.0 | 609 | 15.0 | * 0.491 | 29.5 | LOS C | 13.9 | 109.6 | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 44.7 |
| 6 R2 | 112 | 15.0 | 112 | 15.0 | * 0.501 | 60.3 | LOS E | 6.3 | 49.7 | 0.97 | 0.79 | 0.97 | 29.6 |
| Approach | 796 | 15.0 | 796 | 15.0 | 0.501 | 34.0 | LOS C | 13.9 | 109.6 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 41.1 |
| North: Boulevard Connector Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad$ L2 | 89 | 15.0 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.258 | 45.3 | LOS D | 5.0 | 39.5 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 31.9 |
| 8 T1 | 15 | 15.0 | 15 | 15.0 | * 0.258 | 40.6 | LOS D | 5.0 | 39.5 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 31.1 |
| 9 R2 | 1 | 15.0 | 1 | 15.0 | 0.004 | 52.9 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.88 | 0.59 | 0.88 | 29.9 |
| Approach | 105 | 15.0 | 105 | 15.0 | 0.258 | 44.7 | LOS D | 5.0 | 39.5 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 31.8 |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 16 | 15.0 | 16 | 15.0 | 0.025 | 30.9 | LOS C | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 38.8 |
| 11 T1 | 617 | 15.0 | 617 | 15.0 | 0.460 | 29.6 | LOS C | 13.5 | 106.7 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 44.7 |
| 12 R 2 | 13 | 15.0 | 13 | 15.0 | 0.058 | 56.1 | LOS E | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 30.6 |
| Approach | 646 | 15.0 | 646 | 15.0 | 0.460 | 30.1 | LOS C | 13.5 | 106.7 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 44.1 |
| All Vehicles | 1679 | 15.0 | 1679 | 15.0 | 0.501 | 35.0 | LOS C | 13.9 | 109.6 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 40.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)


North: Boulevard Connector Street

| P3 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 218.8 | 213.9 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West: Lecky Road |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 226.4 | 223.8 |
| All | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 222.6 | 218.9 | 0.98 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

## 目 Site: IN-06 [IN-06 Lecky Rd/ Connector Street - PM Peak

[Typical Layout] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 98 | 0 | 52 | 74 |
| Green Time (sec) | 16 | 46 | 16 | 18 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 22 | 52 | 22 | 24 |
| Phase Split | $18 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence


REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| $\Rightarrow$ Normal Movement | $\Rightarrow$ Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Rightarrow$ Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | $\checkmark$ Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | $\Rightarrow$ Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| $\square$ Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

目 Site: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - AM Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - AM
Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]

## AM Peak Hour

Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF UE Dist] | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.004 | 21.2 | LOS C | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 48.7 |
| 2 T1 | 132 | 0.0 | 132 | 0.0 | * 0.203 | 46.9 | LOS D | 3.4 | 23.6 | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 37.2 |
| 3 R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 50.7 | LOS D | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.85 | 35.2 |
| Approach | 136 | 0.0 | 136 | 0.0 | 0.203 | 46.3 | LOS D | 3.4 | 23.6 | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.89 | 37.4 |
| East: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 7.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 59.1 |
| 5 T1 | 1814 | 15.0 | 1814 | 15.0 | * 0.851 | 41.7 | LOS D | 35.5 | 280.1 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 39.2 |
| 6 R2 | 31 | 15.0 | 31 | 15.0 | 0.185 | 69.6 | LOS E | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.99 | 0.69 | 0.99 | 28.8 |
| Approach | 1846 | 15.0 | 1846 | 15.0 | 0.851 | 42.1 | LOS D | 35.5 | 280.1 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 38.9 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 35 | 15.0 | 35 | 15.0 | 0.041 | 13.5 | LOS B | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 50.9 |
| 8 T1 | 130 | 0.0 | 130 | 0.0 | 0.364 | 46.7 | LOS D | 6.7 | 47.1 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.92 | 37.3 |
| 9 R2 | 655 | 15.0 | 655 | 15.0 | * 0.710 | 58.7 | LOS E | 12.5 | 98.9 | 0.99 | 0.86 | 1.05 | 31.5 |
| Approach | 820 | 12.6 | 820 | 12.6 | 0.710 | 54.9 | LOS D | 12.5 | 98.9 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 32.9 |
| West: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 914 | 15.0 | 914 | 15.0 | 0.643 | 8.3 | LOS A | 11.3 | 89.4 | 0.34 | 0.69 | 0.34 | 54.9 |
| 11 T1 | 1658 | 15.0 | 1658 | 15.0 | 0.778 | 34.7 | LOS C | 28.6 | 226.1 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 42.3 |
| 12 R 2 | 53 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.0 | * 0.571 | 71.4 | LOS E | 3.3 | 23.0 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 1.05 | 29.3 |
| Approach | 2625 | 14.7 | 2625 | 14.7 | 0.778 | 26.2 | LOS C | 28.6 | 226.1 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.74 | 45.5 |
| All Vehicles | 5427 | 14.1 | 5427 | 14.1 | 0.851 | 36.5 | LOS D | 35.5 | 280.1 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 40.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Movement Performance

| Mov ID Crossing | Input Vol. ped/h | Dem. <br> Flow <br> ped/h | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of AVERAGE BACK OF Service QUEUE |  |  | Prop. Effective Que Stop Rate |  | Travel Time <br> sec | Travel Aver. Dist. Speed$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 223.6 | 220.2 | 0.98 |
| East: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 231.5 | 230.4 | 1.00 |


| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P3 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 229.0 | 227.1 | 0.99 |
| West: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 229.0 | 227.1 | 0.99 |
| All <br> Pedestria | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 228.3 | 226.2 | 0.99 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

目ite: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - AM
Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]
AM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)
Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 92 | 0 | 26 | 38 |
| Green Time (sec) | 22 | 20 | 6 | 48 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 28 | 26 | 12 | 54 |
| Phase Split | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $45 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence


REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| Normal Movement | Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | U Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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## SITE LAYOUT

目 Site: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - PM Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - PM
Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]

## PM Peak Hour

Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID |  | UT <br> MES HV] \% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95\% BA } \\ \text { QUE } \\ \text { [ Veh. } \\ \text { veh } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CKK OF } \\ \text { EUE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate |  | Aver. Speed <br> km/h |
| South: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 7 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 24.6 | LOS C | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 46.6 |
| 2 T1 | 352 | 0.0 | 352 | 0.0 | * 0.542 | 50.2 | LOS D | 9.6 | 67.3 | 0.97 | 0.79 | 0.97 | 36.0 |
| 3 R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 50.7 | LOS D | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.85 | 35.2 |
| Approach | 360 | 0.0 | 360 | 0.0 | 0.542 | 49.7 | LOS D | 9.6 | 67.3 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 36.2 |
| East: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.17 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 59.3 |
| 5 T1 | 1736 | 15.0 | 1736 | 15.0 | 0.832 | 40.0 | LOS D | 32.9 | 259.6 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 1.05 | 39.9 |
| 6 R2 | 44 | 15.0 | 44 | 15.0 | 0.262 | 70.2 | LOS E | 1.3 | 10.5 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 28.7 |
| Approach | 1781 | 15.0 | 1781 | 15.0 | 0.832 | 40.8 | LOS D | 32.9 | 259.6 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 1.05 | 39.5 |
| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 43 | 15.0 | 43 | 15.0 | 0.051 | 17.5 | LOS B | 1.0 | 8.1 | 0.48 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 48.3 |
| 8 T1 | 97 | 0.0 | 97 | 0.0 | 0.260 | 44.7 | LOS D | 4.9 | 34.1 | 0.89 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 38.0 |
| 9 R2 | 941 | 15.0 | 941 | 15.0 | * 0.975 | 105.5 | LOS F | 27.1 | 213.9 | 1.00 | 1.18 | 1.76 | 22.5 |
| Approach | 1081 | 13.7 | 1081 | 13.7 | 0.975 | 96.5 | LOS F | 27.1 | 213.9 | 0.97 | 1.12 | 1.63 | 23.9 |
| West: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 895 | 15.0 | 895 | 15.0 | 0.670 | 10.4 | LOS B | 17.4 | 137.1 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 53.2 |
| 11 T1 | 1922 | 15.0 | 1922 | 15.0 | * 0.951 | 71.6 | LOS E | 52.6 | 415.6 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 1.41 | 29.8 |
| 12 R 2 | 57 | 0.0 | 57 | 0.0 | * 0.614 | 71.8 | LOS E | 3.5 | 24.8 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 1.09 | 29.2 |
| Approach | 2874 | 14.7 | 2874 | 14.7 | 0.951 | 52.5 | LOS D | 52.6 | 415.6 | 0.83 | 1.06 | 1.11 | 34.5 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 6096 | 13.7 | 6096 | 13.7 | 0.975 | 56.7 | LOS E | 52.6 | 415.6 | 0.91 | 1.02 | 1.18 | 33.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Pedestrian Movement Performance


| North: Officer South Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P3 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 229.0 | 227.1 | 0.99 |
| West: Thompsons Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 Full | 50 | 50 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 229.0 | 227.1 | 0.99 |
| All <br> Pedestria | 200 | 200 | 54.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 228.3 | 226.2 | 0.99 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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## PHASING SUMMARY

目 Site: IN-10 [IN-10 Officer South Rd/Thompsons Road - PM
Peak [Hybrid Triple] (Site Folder: Officer South Employment
PSP)]
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)
Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing \& Timing dialog
Phase Times determined by the program
Green Split Priority has been specified
Phase Sequence: Leading Right Turn
Reference Phase: Phase B
Input Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D
Output Phase Sequence: A, B, C, D

## Phase Timing Summary

| Phase | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Change Time (sec) | 91 | 0 | 26 | 38 |
| Green Time (sec) | 23 | 20 | 6 | 47 |
| Phase Time (sec) | 29 | 26 | 12 | 53 |
| Phase Split | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ |

See the Timing Analysis report for more detailed information including input values of Yellow Time and All-Red Time, and information on any adjustments to Intergreen Time, Phase Time and Green Time values in cases of Pedestrian Actuation, Minor Phase Actuation and Phase Frequency values (user-specified or implied) less than 100\%.

Output Phase Sequence


REF: Reference Phase
VAR: Variable Phase

| Normal Movement | Permitted/Opposed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slip/Bypass-Lane Movement | Opposed Slip/Bypass-Lane |
| Stopped Movement | Turn On Red |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Running | U Undetected Movement |
| $\Rightarrow$ Mixed Running \& Stopped MCs | $\Rightarrow$ Continuous Movement |
| Other Movement Class (MC) Stopped | - Phase Transition Applied |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is an earlier superseded version of the Place Based Plan. These zone structures have been assessed to be appropriate for application to the slightly modified land use configuration of the draft Place Based Plan.

[^1]:    North: Boulevard Connector Street

