

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Submission to Amendment C156/PMP Printing Site  
**Date:** Thursday, 29 October 2020 4:29:17 PM

---

Good Afternoon Martina,

Sincere thanks for sending through the additional information we requested on the PMP Precinct.

I attempted to put in our written submission to the email address on your website, I'm afraid this has bounced back (as per the message just below)

Hence I have forwarded you and the project team my written submission in relation to this project.

Warm Regards  
[REDACTED]

---

The original message was received at Wed, 28 Oct 2020 08:39:40 GMT  
from [REDACTED]

----- The following addresses had permanent fatal errors -----  
[amendment@vpa.vic.gov.au](mailto:amendment@vpa.vic.gov.au)  
(reason: 550 5.4.1 All recipient addresses rejected : Access denied. AS(201806271)  
[[SY3AUS01FT009.eop-AUS01.prod.protection.outlook.com](mailto:SY3AUS01FT009.eop-AUS01.prod.protection.outlook.com)])

----- Transcript of session follows -----  
... while talking to [vpa-vic-gov-au.mail.protection.outlook.com](mailto:vpa-vic-gov-au.mail.protection.outlook.com).:  
>>> DATA  
<<< 550 5.4.1 All recipient addresses rejected : Access denied. AS(201806271)  
[[SY3AUS01FT009.eop-AUS01.prod.protection.outlook.com](mailto:SY3AUS01FT009.eop-AUS01.prod.protection.outlook.com)]  
554 5.0.0 Service unavailable

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: **melinda everett** <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Wed, Oct 28, 2020 at 7:39 PM  
Subject: Submission to Amendment C156/PMP Printing Site  
To: <[amendment@vpa.vic.gov.au](mailto:amendment@vpa.vic.gov.au)>

Good Afternoon,

Please find below details of my (and that of my husband [REDACTED]) submission following our attendance of the information session early October 2020 via Zoom.

C156/PMP Printing Site:

**2.3 Landscape and Trees**

[Related to 2.3.1 R16](#) of the proposed amendment.

*The trees shown to be retained on Plan 1 (identified as high value and medium value) must be retained or to the satisfaction of the responsible authority. Any future design must ensure that the impact to the canopy of retained trees is kept to a minimum and does not encroach on the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ).*

My submission relates to ensuring amendment to this clause or inclusion within the guidelines whereby retaining these "high and medium value" trees also extends it's consideration to the native fauna who use these trees for their food and shelter needs. The PMT Printing site offers a unique concentration of well established large and mature trees relatively well spaced for easy access for native animals to move from tree to tree that is largely devoid in the immediate surrounding streetscape.

Urbanised native animals in this area have increasing difficulty to safely navigate their environment, this is particularly relevant to the ring-tail and brushtail possums who inhabit the area and often have no choice but to cross busy streets to access other mature trees in the area. Many are often hit by cars and perish on the neighbouring streets.

I would like to see this clause consider the use of these trees by native fauna and to mitigate as much as possible the impact to native animals. As an example: the potential of installing possum corridor highways located high in the mature trees that links the mature trees. Consideration of 'no off leash dog activity' immediately surrounding the perimeter where these mature trees are located.

### **2.3.2 Open space**

[Related to 2.3.2 R17](#) of the proposed amendment.

*Buildings adjacent and overlooking public open space areas must be sited and designed to positively address the open space and provide passive surveillance of linear corridors, easements and other public areas through the siting of windows, balconies and access points.*

I note that proscribing exacting community infrastructure in the allotted open spaces may be out of scope for this planning amendment, as it is not reflected anywhere in this document with only vague considerations as to the use of these open spaces at this point in time. Yet I do wish to raise my concerns again this development which will add another 1,100 homes to this area and the absolute essential need to ensure proper community recreational areas and infrastructure. This must be properly accounted for and planned to ensure this results in a successful redevelopment of the site for both the new residents and the established community in the area.

In the current PMP Comprehensive Development plan, there is an implied reliance of the adjacent local community amenities referred to as "[existing regional open space and Djerring cycle trail](#)" which I feel needs careful reassessment. These newly established community amenities along the railway line include basketball courts/community exercise equipment and a small off leash dog park.

All of the above mentioned community amenities is exceptionally well used and regularly occupied by the current surrounding residents. It should be noted that the other high rise residential development located on Centre Road -Jackson Green is still in construction and this will only add even more demand and usage of these railway line community facilities.

I would like to see the open space guidelines strengthened in this planning amendment to

ensure adequate community amenity infrastructure for the use of PMT Printing site residents and decrease the reliance on 'existing regional open space'.

I thank you for consideration of my submission and I welcome any further questions you might have with the above matters I have raised.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.