

25 February 2019

Ben Hawkins  
VPA  
Structure Planning Manager  
Level 25, 35 Collins Street  
MELBOURNE. VIC. 3000

Andrea Harwood  
Senior Project Manager  
Planning Panels Victoria  
1 Spring Street  
MELBOURNE. VIC. 3000

By Email: [planning.panels@delwp.vic.gov.au](mailto:planning.panels@delwp.vic.gov.au), [ben.hawkins@vpa.vic.gov.au](mailto:ben.hawkins@vpa.vic.gov.au)

Dear Ben and Andrea,

**WHITTLESEA AND MITCHELL PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT  
GC102: DONNYBROOK-WOODSTOCK INFRASTRUCTURE  
CONTRIBUTIONS PLAN  
REQUEST TO BE HEARD**

We act for the Donnybrook JV Pty Ltd and 960 Blueways Development Pty Ltd, the owner and purchaser of the land respectively situated at 960 Donnybrook Road, Donnybrook VIC 3064 within the Shenstone Park PSP area.

On 5 February 2019, we lodged an online Request to be Heard Form with Planning Panels in relation to Amendment GC102 to the Mitchell and Whittlesea Planning Schemes. We have also been in touch with Ben Hawkins to discuss our client's position in relation to Amendment GC102. This letter is intended to comprise a submission in relation to Amendment GC102. In addition, it addresses the various directions set out in the Panel's Directions relating to the Amendment dated 19 December 2019.

---

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

## **SUBMISSION**

Our client's interest in Amendment GC102 relates to the intersection projects and associated costings set out in the Donnybrook-Woodstock ICP on the basis that the infrastructure scope and costings for Donnybrook Road intersections included in the Donnybrook-Woodstock ICP are intended to be funded in part by development within the Shenstone Park PSP area. The current scope of one of the intersections in the Donnybrook-Woodstock ICP impacts on our client's land holdings and does not provide sufficient capacity for the future development of our client's land.

## **RESPONSE TO THE PANEL'S DIRECTIONS**

In accordance with the Panel's Directions dated 19 December 2019, we are writing to confirm the following:

- 1 We estimate that the presentation of our client's case will require an allocation of 4 hours. Having regard to the Panel timetable dated 19 December 2018, we suggest that it would be helpful to the Panel if an hour was allocated for the presentation of our case in relation to Common Issues following Mirvac's presentation on Common Issues which is scheduled to occur on Day 1. Further, we suggest that the remaining 3 hours required to present our client's case is scheduled to follow Mirvac's submissions which are currently scheduled on Days 4 and 5.
- 2 We will be calling two Engineering experts to present evidence in support of our client's case in relation to functional layout, and infrastructure costings.

We request that Panels confirm our client's inclusion in the timetable and relevant dates for making submissions.

If you require further clarification or wish to discuss this matter further, please get in touch with [REDACTED]

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]