

Amendment C234 - Pakenham East PSP 1210- Submission summary - Agency - May 2018

Victorian Planning Authority: consideration of submissions

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
Submission 02 - AusNet Transmission Group						
2.01	1 2 3 4	Supports amendment	Supports Amendment	Noted.	No action	No action required
2.02		Any proposed development within 60 m of the easement must be referred to AusNet Transmission Group for approval prior to the commencement of any works on site	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree to include AusNet Transmission Group as referral within 60 m of electricity easement	Amendment documentation to remain as it is.	No action required
Submission 06 - South East Water						
6.00	~	No objection	Supports Amendment	Noted. Do not provide any further correspondence	Do not send response	No action required
Submission 10 - Diocese of Sale Catholic Education						
10.00	34-R	Satisfied with the identification of a potential non-government school site	Supports Amendment	Noted.	No action	Noted
		In discussions with developer to relocate the school site to the east of Hancocks Gully to locate bicycle path along school boundary and remove road from between the school site and the watercourse reserve	School	Need to further clarify whether CEO Sale is supportive of the current proposed school site. Also need further clarification on what changes to the bicycle path would be sought if the location was changed, as it is understood the bicycle path would still surround the alternative school site boundary. A couple of points that should be noted are that it is preferable for the school and community facility to be co-located, and that the alternative location could have a benefit in reduced traffic as it is further from the local town centre.	Discuss to establish if Diocese support proposed location of school.	Noted
Submission 16 - EPA						
16.01	12, 13, 50	Support the planning framework proposed for sites with medium risk	Contamination	Supports amendment	No action	Noted
16.02		Sites identified as having a high risk should have an Environmental Audit Overlay applied to them	Contamination	Have updated the amendment documentation to include the requested additional controls.	Amendment documentation has been updated and sent to EPA (23/4).	Agree change to amendment documentation
Submission 32 - APA Networks						
32.01	12	Precinct requires a gas City Gate	Other	After discussion, APA likely to build a City Gate facility outside of the precinct. Need to further clarify this.	If a City Gate is to be located within the precinct, APA has confirmed that it will be constructed within the existing APA property boundaries. Otherwise APA will locate the new City Gate facility outside of the precinct.	Noted

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
32.02		Cost contribution by developers likely to be required to service this area with gas reticulation	Other	Noted.	No action	Noted
32.03		Significant lengths of trunk (large diameter and high capacity) gas pipelines will need to be planned	Other	Noted.	No action	Noted
32.04		Preference that vegetation (such as street trees) is minimised within location where gas reticulation is situated (typically road reserve of pathway)	Vegetation	Noted.	No action	Noted
Submission 32 (part 2)- APA Group						
32.05		APA has three pipelines located through the PSP: Longford-Dandenong - Measurement Length (ML) required - 700M Bunyip-Pakenham - Measurement Length required - 700M Pakenham- Wollert - Measurement Length required - 700M	Other	Noted	No action	Noted
32.06		APA seeks to limit sensitive uses within the ML, and its preferred position is that all land uses below be located outside the ML: Aged Care, Place of assembly or worship, Retirement facilities, retail premises, child care/family day care centres, service station, cinema based entertainment facility, high density residential uses (30d/ha), schools or other education establishments, Prisons/corrective insitutions, hospitals/medical centres, others uses determined by the decision maker.	Land Use	Schools are located outside of the measurement length. For the other uses, a permit will be required of which APA will be a notified authority. Our position is these are these uses that you will get notice for and these are the uses that will be permit required within the measurement length.	No further action.	No action required
32.07		APA will consent, in principle, to road or services crossings over the easement on the basis that Council and other utility operates enter in an agreement with APA to maintain our existing easement rights to be covered by the road.	Other	Noted	No action	Noted
32.08		Given the outcome of the SMS conducted and the high design quality of the APA pipeline, rupture of the pipeline is deemed to be non credible, therefore APA can accept an area of 50m either side of the APA gas pipeline easement to be applied and shown on Plan 3 (FUS) rather than the full Measurement Length of 700M.	Other	Noted. Will amend Plan 3 and Plan 10 with revised gas pipeline notification zone.	Plan 10 has been updated. Plan 3 will need to be updated.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.09		The refined measurement length should be shown on the map in the schedule to clause 37.07 and could be shown as the 'gas pipeline notification zone' to avoid confusion with the full measurement length.	Planning scheme ordinance	Supported. Will amend Plan 3 which is included in the schedule to clause 37.07 to include the 'gas pipeline notification zone'.	Update Plan 3 within gas pipeline notification zone, to be reflected in UGZ schedule.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.10		The relevant referral schedules would also need to be amended to reflect any updated terminology	Planning scheme ordinance	Supported. Will amend relevant referral schedules to include 'Gas Pipeline Notification Zone'	Update accordingly.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.11		These comments only relate to the APA assets and not the Origin gas pipeline.	Other	Noted.	No action.	Noted
32.12		The intersection west of Dore Road (IN02) needs to be clearly relocated off the easement (either to the north or south). APA will permit road crossings at 90 degrees but would not support an intersection/roundabout on the easement.	Roads & Transport	IN-02 (Princes Highway/Ryan Rd) is situated off the easement. The intersection west of Dore Rd with the local access street will be relocated so that the crossing is at a 90 degree angle and the intersection off the easement.	No action	No action required

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
32.13		The 45 degree angled road cutting across the APA gas pipeline easement show be at 90 degree angle.	Roads & Transport	Supported. The road will be amended so that it crosses the easement at a 90 degree angle.	Adjust intersection so that road crosses easement at 90 degrees and intersection is off the easement.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.14		The parallel road running along the APA gas pipeline easement from Deep Creek is to be relocated off the gas pipeline easement.	Roads & Transport	Further discussion regarding the alignment of the road crossing Deep Creek in to the Azemi land. Realigning the local access road and bridge is problematic from a subdivision perspective. It's also the logical place for the creek crossing. Further work required regarding the loss of control of the easement as other issues rased by APA can be addressed through design of the cross section and the construction management plan.	Discuss with council if the realigned connector road within the Azemi land would be considered generally in accordance with the Development Plan.	Additional information / work may be required to inform outcome
32.15		Any residential development directly abutting the APA VTS/Origin Enery facility at Dore Road will need to demonstrate that any such development will not be impacted by the operations of this facility. A noise buffer may be needed	Other	Agree. A noise impact assessment report is currently being conducted, and the recommendations of the report will be used to ensure development addresses noise from the facility.	Incorporate noise buffer recommendations into the PSP from the noise impact assessment report.	Additional information / work may be required to inform outcome
32.16		APA require to retain access to the Dore Road facility.	Other	This would be resolved at detailed subdivision design stage.	No action.	No action required
32.17		The design of the wetland facility (WL-02) is required to be designed as per the discussions with APA in Janauary 2017 and Stormy Water Solutions.	Land Use	The wetland facility has been designed as per the discussions with APA in January 2017 and Stormy Water Solutions.	No action	No action required
32.18		G13 to be amended to include following dot point: Be located outside the pipeline notification buffer as identified on Plan 3	PSP text change	agreed	Agreed to update	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.19		Include the following requirement: Other than perpendicular road crossings of the gas transmission pipeline easement no road or carriageway easements are to be created on gas pipeline easements unless to the satisfaction of the pipeline licensee/operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree but believe that this is better placed as a guideline.	Include the following Guide: All road crossings with the gas transmission pipeline easement should run perpendicular to the gas pipeline easements to the	Agree to partial change to amendment documentation
32.20		Include the following requirement: Any utility infrastructure constructed adjacent to or crossing the gas transmission pipeline easement shown on Plan 3 must cross at 90 degrees and be engineered to protect the integrity of the pipeline to the satisfcation of the gas transmission pipeline owner or operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	This is included in G65 to allow for flexibility when it's not possible to deliver this.	No action.	No action required
32.21		Include the following requirement: Landscaping and development adjacent to the existing gas transmission pipeline easement shown on Plan 3 and 10 must not jeopardise the integrity of the pipeline.	Requirements & Guidelines	Supported	Include additional requirement	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.22		Include the following requirement: And construction activity deeper than 300mm and within 3 metres of a gas transmission piepline or within a gas transmission pipeline easement must be granted a permit to work by the gas transmission pipeline owner or operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	Noted. It is the VPAs understanding that this is covered by the Pipelines Act, and in order to reduce duplication within the Planning Scheme this shouldn't be included in the PSP.	Do not support the inclusion of this as a requirement. Could look into including this as a Guideline if APA does not think it is adequately covered by the Pipelines Act.	Agree to partial change to amendment documentation



No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
32.23		Additional requirement: The APA VTS gas transmission pipeline easement is not to be utilised by other infrastructure/utility services, other than service crossings which are required to be approved by the gas transmission pipeline owner or operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	Not supported. The rights afforded the pipeline operator through the easement would ensure this.	Do not support the inclusion of this requirement. Could look at including as a Guideline.	Agree to partial change to amendment documentation
32.24		Additional requirement: The APA VTS gas transmission pipeline easement is not to be utilised for carriageway easement for any adjoining developments eg. Footpaths/paper roads etc for rear loaded properties fronting the gas easement.	Requirements & Guidelines	Not supported. There should be flexibility within the Requirements to accommodate any unforeseen constraints/opportunities in the future. Could look at including as a guideline.	Do not support the inclusion of this requirement. Could look at including as a Guideline.	Agree to partial change to amendment documentation
32.25		Edit G59 and make a requirement : Any utility infrastructure constructed adjacent to or crossing the gas transmission pipeline easement shown on Plan 3 and Plan 10 must cross at 90 degrees and be engineered to protect the integrity of the pipeline to the satisfaction of the gas transmission pipeline owner or operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	Not supported. Guideline 59 is related to drainage infrastructure, not utility infrastructure. Utility infrastructure is covered in G65.	Do not support including.	Not agreed to make change to amendment documentation
32.26		Include following guideline: Vegetation should not be planted within 3 metres of the existing gas transmission pipeline, as shown on Plan 3 and 10 where practical. Where vegetation is proposed to be planted within 3 metres of the pipeline alignment, it must be shallow rooted and must not exceed 1.5 metres in height once mature. Line of sight must be maintained between high pressure gas pipeline awareness markers.	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree.	Include additional guideline	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.27		Include following wording in G53: "....with the consent of the gas transmission pipeline owner or operator."	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree.	Include additional wording in G53	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.28		Review R94 and whether it is appropriate for "pipelines" to be included in the wording.	Requirements & Guidelines	Do not support. This section is for Integrated Water Management, not utility infrastructure. R99 requires all new underground services to be planned at subdivision stage.	Do not support including.	Not agreed to make change to amendment documentation
32.29		A cross section should be prepared for landscaping of gas pipeline easement, similar to Appendix E and R39 (cross section of powerline easement). Cross section should be developed with APA VTS.	Graphics and mapping	Agree. It should be noted that the cross section will be developed to primarily address interfaces with the easement, rather than presenting appropriate landscaping of the easement. Landscaping is a detailed design aspect that will be part of the detail subdivision stage. A note to a cross section could be a more appropriate place to address approval of vegetation within the easement	Prepare a cross section for the gas pipeline easement. Cross section should be developed with APA VTS	Additional information / work may be required to inform outcome
32.30		Update R39 to replace coordinating gas authority to gas pipeline owner/operator.	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree.	Amend R39	Agree change to amendment documentation

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
32.31		Include the following note to any cross section that refers to the APA gas pipeline: Any footpaths or cycling paths within the easement for gas pipeline are not to be encumbered with a road (R1) or carriageway easement status. Any vegetation within the easement for gas pipeline must be approved by the gas pipeline owner or operator. UGZ/Planning Ordinance	Graphics and mapping	Agree.	Include additional note	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.32						
32.33		Map 1 to Schedule 5 of Clause 37.07 needs to include the gas transmission pipeline notification zone.		Agree.	Include updated plan 3 in the UGZ with gas transmission pipeline notification zone.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.34		Update all references within the planning scheme ordinance from measurement length to pipeline notification zone.		Agree.	Update schedule to refer to pipeline notification zone, not measurement length.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.35		Amend Schedule to 66.04 and 66.06 so that notice is given to the pipeline owner/operator as a recommending referral status.		VPA current position is to notify rather than include as a recommending authority.	No action.	Not agreed to make change to amendment documentation
32.36		Include the following specific provision in the UGZ schedule: Specific provision - Referral to gas transmission pipeline operator. An application to use land or construct a building or carry out works associated with any of the following uses within the 'gas pipeline notification zone' shown on Plan 3 in the incorporated <i>Pakenham East Precinct Structure Plan</i> must be referred to the gas transmission pipeline operator in accordance with Clause 66.04: Accommodation (other than a dwelling) Child Care centre Cinema based entertainment facility Corrective institute Education centre Hospital Place of assembly Retail premises Service station		Partially agree. APA will be notified of a permit application for these uses.	No action.	Agree change to amendment documentation
32.37		Add dependent persons unit on list of sensitive land uses included in 66.04		Dependent persons unit falls under the Accommodation category.	No further action.	No action required
Submission 48 - Aboriginal Victoria						
48.00		Request that a PSP-wide CHMP is done to ensure areas are considered where no statutory trigger occurs	Heritage	This has been further discussed with Dan Cummins from Aboriginal Victoria. It is noted that this was a suggestion to Council if they did wish to perform a CHMP at the outset. It is the preference that a CHMP will be done at the time of subdivision when the statutory trigger is effected.	No action.	No action required
		Some known Aboriginal sites/places/LDADs are mapped as 'conservation areas' on Plan 3	Graphics and mapping	This has been further discussed with Dan Cummins from Aboriginal Victoria. It is noted that there was some confusion due to the mapping of <i>Conservation Areas</i> , which has been done in this way in the Pakenham East PSP because of the Native Vegetation Precinct Plan. These are not Aboriginal sites/places/LDADS. Aboriginal features are represented on <i>Plan 2 - Precinct Features</i> .	No action.	No action required
Submission 37 - Department of Education and Training						
37.01	6/33/38/41	Satisfied with the number and distribution of proposed govt schools and will allocate the interim names of: <i>Deep Creek Proposed P6</i> <i>Hancocks Gully Proposed P6</i> <i>Pakenham East Proposed 7-12</i>	FUS	Noted	No action	Agree change to amendment documentation

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
37.02		Request that government school sites throughout the document are consistently referred to as "proposed government school"	PSP text change	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Amend wording	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.03		Amend wording in <i>Figure 2 Town Centre Concept Plan</i> to "potential non-government school"	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Include additional requirement	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.04		An additional item in <i>Table 8 Precinct Infrastructure - Education Projects</i> should be included in relation to the proposed govt secondary school in the PSP	school	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Include proposed govt secondary school in <i>Table 8</i>	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.05		The secondary school site size should be increased to 8.4ha to meet DET's greenfield standard	FUS	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Change school site size	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.06		Amend G36 to read ' <i>Design and layout of community facilities should: ... Encourage the integration of schools, early childhood facilities and other community facilities where they are co-located.</i> '	PSP text change	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Amend wording	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.07		An additional Requirement should be included that reads "At least two roads abutting proposed government schools sites must have sufficient widths to provide student drop-off zones and on-street indented parking in addition to other street functions."	Requirements & Guidelines	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Include additional Requirement	Agree change to amendment documentation
37.08		Concern around the proximity of the proposed govt school sites to high-pressure gas pipelines and the potential impact of the 1 in 100-year flood layer	school	The government school in the northern part of the precinct sits within the high pressure gas transmission pipeline measurement length in the exhibited PSP. During the exhibition period APA advised the following: 'Given the outcome of the SMS conducted and the high design quality of the APA pipeline, rupture of the pipeline is deemed to be non credible, therefore APA can accept an area of 50m either side of the APA gas pipeline easement to be applied and shown on Plan 3 (FUS) rather than the full Measurement Length of 700M' (see submission 32). This will result in all schools being located outside of any potential area of impact relating to the high pressure gas transmission pipelines. The school site in the south-west of the precinct has been located to have 3 frontages (one connector and three local access), is outside of the gas transmission pipeline measurement length, and is within 1 title boundary. The 1 in 100 year flood line shown on Plan 9 is as it currently exists without the implication of the future drainage scheme that will be put in place, which will ensure that the school site is not within a range to be affected by a 1 in 100 year flood. The drainage corridor WI-06 (Natural Waterway Corridor- Deep Creek Reserve) will be designed to manage a 1:100 year flood event within the boundary of the corridor (see Background Document- Drainage Strategy- Stormywater Solutions December 2017).	Have clarified these points with DET and received a response that they are satisfied that all matters raised in their submission have been adequately addressed.	No action required
Submission 29 - DELWP Env't						
30.01	NVPP section 1	Requests that the general and specific offsets that will be provided within Cardinia boundaries on page 6 be identified and secured	NVPP - extra info	Greater clarification is needed from EH&P as to the interpretation of the 5th dot point in the NVPP Section 1.1 Purpose - about <i>making a contribution to Cardinia Shire's biodiversity that is equivalent to the contribution made by the native vegetation to be removed in accordance with the Guidelines</i> . There is no statutory obligation to identify offsets that will be provided at the PSP stage - this should occur at the subdivision application stage. If the above comment is greater clarified to allow for offsets outside of the Shire's boundaries, does it effect the need to identify the offsets?	Suggest updating the purpose to reflect DELWP standard wording for NVPP's as below: • Ensure that the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation, and the management of the native vegetation specified to be retained is consistent with <i>conserving the ecological</i> The DELWP NVPP template states "Evidence that the required offset has been secured must be provided	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.02		States that evidence of a suitable offset available must be provided within the NVPP and an explanation of how it will be secured in accordance with section 9 of the Guidelines inc. if reponsibility is divided amongst multiple properties or parties	NVPP - extra info	There is no statutory obligation to identify offts that will be provided at the PSP stage. This should occur at the subdivision application stage.		No action required - agree to resolve with DELWP prior to approval.

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
30.03		To specify management responsibilities and actions for the native veg to be retained	NVPP - extra info	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Specify management responsibilities and actions for the NV to be retained	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.04		A statement of intention must be provided to the RA prior to removal of native veg (to be removed) which must include evidence that an offset has been secured	NVPP - amend wording	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Include the need to submit a statement of intention to the RA prior to removal of native veg (to be removed)	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.05		Details of how NVPP objectives are to be met needs to be included in the NVPP or the PSP	Supports Amendment	Agree.	Update NVPP to reflect DELWP template objectives, such as those in Brompton Lodge	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.06	NVPP section 2	Requests that the wording is amended from "may undermine" to "will undermine"	NVPP - amend wording	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Amend wording from "may undermine" to "will undermine"	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.07	2.1	Need to apply AS 4970-2009 protection of trees on development sites	NVPP - amend wording	Agree.	Include protection requirements in accordance with AS 4970-2009 in section 2.1	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.08	2.2	All native vegetation proposed to be impacted by the PSP must be included in this application, which must factor in the installation of utilities, retarding basins and associated drainage channels as the worst-case scenario	NVPP - amend wording	Agree.	Update to reflect wording in DELWP template.	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.09		By listing that further native vegetation will be required of the 'protected' vegetation is compromising the NVPP and its purpose - future applications should be assessed under the current legislation of the day under 52.16-4	NVPP - amend wording	Agree. The NVPP will become an incorporated document and outline the native vegetation to be retained and removed. When a land owner submits a subdivision application, it will be assessed under Clause 52.16-4 against the incorporated NVPP, not the guidelines which only set out how the offsets work.	Change NVPP to improve clarity.	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.10	NVPP section 3	Remove the wording ' <i>Any other native vegetation (remnant patch or scattered tree) that is within the Precinct, and has not been identified in this plan, may be removed without a permit</i> ' needs to be replaced - a permit must be sought to remove it	NVPP - amend wording	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Change to amendment documentation	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.11		Onsite offsets should not be considered for this proposal, as future management issues may arise in the change of landowners	NVPP - extra info	Agree	Update NVPP to use current agreed wording from Lindum vale template.	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.12		Conditions in section 3.3 should be as per the conditions provided in the DELWP NVPP template	NVPP - amend wording	Agree.	Update NVPP to use current agreed wording from Lindum vale template.	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.13	PSP section 1.5	change wording of second dot point to ' <i>the offsets that must be sourced by landowners, outlined in table 6 of the NVPP, prior to the removal of native vegetation mapped for removal as per the NVPP. The NVPP is a separate document.</i> '	PSP text change	Agree - make changes as per submission.	Change to amendment documentation	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.14	PSP Plan 3	Remnant vegetation in proposed drainage areas should be classified as lost vegetation as per the Guidelines (DELWP 2013)	NVPP - extra info	Agree - refer to EH&P to include the extent of lost vegetation due to earthworks and construction of drainage infrastructure.	Refer to EH&P	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.15		Greater clarification on the areas around watercourses and whether they are to be designed conservation areas	Land Use	Following the result of the EPBC Act referral as 'not a controlled action', the area along Deep Creek will act as a drainage corridor rather than a conservation area of national environmental significance. Further review of the extent of the drainage corridor will occur with Melbourne Water to be able to better identify the land use of the corridor.	Awaiting response from Melbourne Water to determine land use along Deep Creek.	Agree pending final confirmation from Melbourne Water.

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
30.16	PSP Section 2.2	NVPP does not include mechanisms to facilitate fauna sensitive development as suggested in O20	PSP objective	Agree - should update O20 to align with the NVPP's objectives.	Update O20	Agree change to amendment documentation
30.17	PSP section 3.8	DELWP should not be listed as a lead agency on the Deep Creek conservation Reserve, it should be the RA, Cardinia Shire Council.	PSP text change	Noted. This will be updated with the change of the zoning for Deep Creek	Updated with changed land use along Deep Creek.	Agree pending final confirmation from Melbourne Water.
30.18	PSP	Pathways in public open spaces should be designed to be as environmentally sensitive as possible and should be constructed of permeable material, i.e. crushed rock instead of concrete	Other	Agree	Update G30 to state that Pathway designs should generally be permeable where possible.	Agree pending final confirmation from Melbourne Water.
30.19		An overall management strategy should be identified for the native vegetation to be retained - who responsible agency is and who will be responsible for ongoing function and up keep	NVPP	Agree. The NVPP will be amended to include native vegetation management responsibilities and actions.	Update to align with template.	Agree change to amendment documentation
Submission 52 - TFV						
52.01		Supports objectives 22, 23, 24	Supports Amendment	Noted.	No action	Noted
52.02		Does not support inclusion of R80 and requests it be removed	Requirements & Guidelines	Non-significant changes to deliver the intersections identified in the LUB and the FUS can be accommodated within the land take. This requirement ensures there is no opportunity for increased scope of intersections above that planned for in the PSP at the permit stage.	Working on a re-draft of R80 to require the delivery of intersections to be consistent with the FLPs in the PSP. Potential wording: The design of roads and intersections in the PSP at the time of development must be consistent with the functional layout plans in Figure x - ICP Functional Layout Plans. If land for roads and intersections is required beyond that established in the Plan 4 - Land Use Budget and Appendix A: Property Specific Land Use Budget, it should be to respond to site specific design constraints only.	Further review/discussion
52.03		Include extra words in R104 to ensure commitment to the shared path along the rail corridor	Requirements & Guidelines	Inclusion of the shared path in the PIP table ensures commitment to the shared path. As delivery of the project cannot be tied to a subdivision permit, it is at Council's discretion as to when it will be delivered.	Discuss timing of delivery with Council for the shared path. A limited amount of control exists to control the delivery of the path through the PSP.	Agree to partial change to amendment documentation
52.04		Assumed that the total land requirement stated in the PSP is reflection of the intersection layouts and the associated land budget includes an appropriate contingency	Roads & Transport	The Land Use Budget reserves the land take identified in the FLPs designed for these intersections.	Investigate potential to include contingency for land in intersection design (note: The ICP guidelines specify a contingency amount for construction, but not land).	Further review/discussion
52.05		At Ryan Rd/Princes Hwy intersection, 100% of an interim design, incorporating signals, land take and the intersection southern leg should be included in the ICP	ICP	Agree.	Updated PIP to reflect this.	Agree change to amendment documentation

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
52.06		Amend precinct infrastructure tables to include the ultimate construction of all connector roads in the ICP, except for the future additional lands on the Princes Freeway.	ICP	Interim and ultimate construction. VPA view is that there should be an interim construction arrangement as turning lanes etc. may not be required during the interim phase, so the current components should be retained.	TfV to confirm view on this.	Further review/discussion
52.07		Amend precinct infrastructure tables to reflect that Cardinia Shire Council is the lead agency (not VicRoads) for intersections	PSP text change	VicRoads views is the development agency will be Cardinia Shire Council, so Council should be identified as the lead agency in the PIP.	Discuss further with Council, but agree Council should be the lead agency.	Agree change to amendment documentation
52.08		Support the proposed pedestrian crossing on Princes Hwy between Connector A and Connector B	Supports Amendment	No action	No action	Noted
Submission 69 - MW						
69.01	14	Show the location of Melbourne Water's recently constructed fishway in the PSP on Plan 5 	Graphics and mapping	Support. Amend Plan 9 to show MW fishway location	Include the location of MW fishway on Plan 9 - Integrated Water Management.	Agree change to amendment documentation
69.02		Include an easement of 9m width for the purpose of accommodating a 600mm diameter stormwater (harvesting) transfer pipeline required for an innovative stormwater harvesting system 	Graphics and mapping	Amend plan to include pipeline easement. Awaiting clarification from MW that a 9m easement is necessary.	Include pipeline on Plan 9 - Integrated Water Management.	Agree change to amendment documentation
Submission 70 - CFA						
70.01		CFA would like the opportunity to work with Council to progress the identification of a site that meets the requirements of the Fire Service Delivery.	Other	A potential future emergency services site can be discussed or identified if necessary through the PSP.	CFA to provide a proposed site within the precinct that has suitable access, meets catchment criteria, is in proximity to the town centre.	Agree change to amendment documentation

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
70.02		The road networks plans contained within the PSP are unsatisfactory for the purpose of fire authority emergency responses, all carriageways must have a trafficable width of 3.5m. The proposed Town Centre requires a local access street level 2 (20m).	Roads & Transport	The proposed cross section at page 93 (Feature main Street) has a 23.1m width. VPA support the use of narrower carriageways to create a low speed environment in town centres that give priority to pedestrians. The 3.0m carriageway will clearly distinguish the Main Street as functioning as an access to the Town Centre for pedestrians, minimise through traffic movements and vehicle speeds and facilitate a scale of development that impacts positively on the pedestrian perception of walkability. These cross-sections are VPA standard that have been agreed upon by TfV and the CFA previously.	No further action.	Not agreed to make change to amendment documentation
70.03		The site is covered by a BPA. Once development is completed, only those parts of the site adjoining a permanent bushfire hazard need to have the BPA applied, in line with DELWP's policy.		Further work/information needs to be provided to understand how best to incorporate the new policy into the PSP. CFA to provide some information of appropriate mechanisms/setbacks based on vegetation type/slope analysis. GIS data has been provided to the CFA to assist with this work. VPA has engaged a Bushfire Planning consultant to provide recommendations on how to apply the policy to the Pakenham East PSP.	Additional information / work required. Bushfire planning consultant to be engaged. Recommendations to be provided in Part B submission.	Agree to changes pending VPA Bushfire Development report.
70.04		All residential development must be set back from any bushfire hazard the minimum distance as specified in Clause 13.05. This is likely to impact the proposed residential areas between the north boundary of the PSP area and the electricity easement running east to west must be set back from any bushfire hazard the minimum distance as specified in the recently updated Clause 13.05. CFA recommends that this be added as a new objective under Bushfire, Biodiversity and Threatened Species (page 14).	Other	Further work/information needs to be provided to understand how best to incorporate the new policy into the PSP. CFA to provide some information of appropriate mechanisms/setbacks based on vegetation type/slope analysis. GIS data has been provided to the CFA to assist with this work. VPA has engaged a Bushfire Planning consultant to provide recommendations on how to apply the policy to the Pakenham East PSP.	Additional information / work required. Bushfire planning consultant to be engaged. Recommendations to be provided in Part B submission.	Agree to changes pending VPA Bushfire Development report.
Submission 72 - DEDJTR						
72.01		The PSP should consider the remaining area of the Extractive Industry Interest Area lying adjacent to future urban development (which will remain a potential location for future extractive industries).	Other	Noted.	No further action.	Noted
72.02		PSP should contain suitable design measures for those areas to help manage potential interface issues.	Other	Noted.	No further action.	Noted
72.03		DEDJTR undertaking an initiative with DEWLP and select councils to identify strategic extractive resource areas and to recognise these more prominently within the planning system.	Other	Noted.	No further action.	Noted