

## Pakenham East PSP - Amendment C234

Submitter #	38
Agency	
Contact name	Patrick & Jennifer Canty
Position title	
TRIM reference	COR/18/1674

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
Submission 38 - Patrick Canty						
38.1		Supports the conservation areas.	Supports Amendment	No further action required.		Noted
38.2		Supports the duplication of Canty Lane.	Supports Amendment	No further action required.		Noted
38.3		Supports the conservation area near the roadbridge along Ryan Road due to local historical significance and strong amenity to local residents.	Supports Amendment	No further action required.		Noted
38.4		Supports the conservation of Canty Lane as it provides habitat connectivity between remnant vegetation at 35 Canty Lane and Deep Creek.	Supports Amendment	No further action required.		Noted

No.	LUB Reference	Submission	Topic Category	VPA response	Action on submission	Status
38.5	27 28	Asks that local access to 25 & 35 Canty Lane be retained .	other	Pre-existing use rights will allow the land owner to retain access to these properties.		Noted
38.6		Asks that the access street along the boundary of the conservation area at 35 Canty Lane be indicative only, as future subdivision could allow for enhanced protection of the conservation area.	other	Noted. An aim of the PSP is to allow for flexibility in the future when subdivision occurs and plans can allow for improvements, such as enhanced protection of a conservation area. Future subdivision plans must be 'generally in accordance' with the PSP, which means to adjustments can be made to the street network at a later date.		Noted
38.7		Wishes for the link between Ryan Road and Bald Hill Road (over the railway line) to be reinstated due to congestion along the Princess Highway.	other	Noted. The VPA intend to request an expert evidence to review the transport and traffic issues rasied during echibition. A recommendation on the potential and viability for this project will be made.		Additional information / work may be required to inform outcome
38.8		Opposes any attempt to diminish the eastern easement along Deep Creek due to concerns over floodings, habitat connectivity and Indigenous heritage value.	other	Noted.		Noted