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1 Erratum

This report is to be read in conjunction with the Whittlesea Planning Scheme Panel Report for Amendment C188, dated 29 April 2016.

1.1 Issues raised and Panel comment

Planning Panels Victoria received an email from the Metropolitan Planning Authority (MPA) on 24 June 2016, raising an issue with the Panel Report for this Amendment, dated 29 April 2016.

Issue
The MPA requested a correction to Recommendation 4 of the Panel Report, as follows:

We have a query about recommendation 4 of the report which specifies:

“Replace Guideline G110 with the following:

Staging will be determined largely by the development proposals on land within the precinct, having regard to existing constraints (including the ongoing operation of the quarry) and the availability of infrastructure services and having regard to the Staging Plan. Development staging should, to the extent practicable, be integrated with adjoining developments. Access to each new lot must be via a sealed road.”

I note that on Page 32 of the Panel Report at Section (iv) of Clause 3.4 Staging Plan, the Panel does not support a post exhibition inclusion of a Staging Plan in the PSP.

As the Panel has recommended that MPA not include a staging plan, MPA writes to ask if the Panel would find it acceptable to remove reference to the staging plan in recommendation 4.

If this is acceptable, the MPA wishes to ask the panel if the new recommended guideline could be:

“Replace Guideline G110 with the following:

Staging will be determined largely by the development proposals on land within the precinct, having regard to existing constraints (including the ongoing operation of the quarry) and the availability of infrastructure services and having regard to the Staging Plan. Development staging should, to the extent practicable, be integrated with adjoining developments. Access to each new lot must be via a sealed road.”

Panel Comment
The Panel accepts that an error has been made and recommends that Recommendation 4 should be corrected as requested by the MPA.
1.2 Revised recommendations

Having considered the above, the Panel notes that Recommendation 4 in its Report dated 29 April 2016 should be amended to read as follows:

*Replace Guideline G110 with the following:*

*Staging will be determined largely by the development proposals on land within the precinct, having regard to existing constraints (including the ongoing operation of the quarry) and the availability of infrastructure services. Development staging should, to the extent practicable, be integrated with adjoining developments. Access to each new lot must be via a sealed road."

No other recommendations of the report are to be amended.